

68

BEFORE HON'BLE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL

PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

O.A NO. 533 OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF

News item titled "Ganges Brahmaputra basins to feel impact of climate change

Report" appearing in the Millenium Post dated 21.03.2024

INDEX

SL NO.	PARTICULARS	PAG E NO
1.	Reply Affidavit on behalf of Department Of Water Resources, River Development And Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry Of Jal Shakti, Government Of India (Respondent No.20)	2 to 17
2.	ANNEXURE-R1 Copy of the Official Gazette notification dated:09.10.2018	18 to 25
3.	ANNEXURE -R2 Copy of Basin wise Water Quality Sites under Central Water Commission	26
	ANNEXURE - R3 Copy of the project study report of NIH Roorkee	27 to 109

PLACE: NEW DELHI

THROUGH

DATED: 15.11.2024

Gigi C. George Advocate
 STANDING COUNSLE (UOI)
 NATIONAL GREE TRUBUNAL
 CH NO. 457, L.B- I,
 DELHI HIGH COURT
 MOB NO. 9810625315

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

Original Application No.533/2024


IN THE MATTER OF:

News item titled "Ganges Brahmaputra basins to feel impact of climate change: Report" appearing in the Millenium Post dated 21.03.2024

**REPLY AFFIDAVIT ON BEHALF OF SECRETRAY,
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, RIVER
DEVELOPMENT AND GANGA REJUVENATION, MINISTRY OF
JAL SHAKTI, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA (RESPONDENT NO 2)**

I, O P Gupta S/o Sh. P C Gupta Aged 52 Years presently posted as Senior Joint Commissioner (PP), Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001, has been duly authorized and hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under: -

1. That, I have been authorized by the competent authority, Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Govt. of India to file the present reply. I have gone through the relevant files and records of the present case maintained in our office.
2. That, in the aforementioned matter, the Hon'ble NGT has taken suo-motu cognizance, based on the news item appeared in 'Millenium Post



ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
वरिष्ठ संयुक्त आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
and Ganga Rejuvenation
भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

②


dated 21.03.2024 titled "Ganges Brahmaputra basins to feel impact of climate change: Report".

3. That the Hon'ble Tribunal, vide order dated 22.06.2024, has impleaded the following as respondents and directed to filling their response at least one week before the next date of hearing:

- i. Central Pollution Control Board through its Member Secretary, Parivesh Bhawan, East Arjun Nagar, Delhi-110032.
- ii. Secretary, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001.
- iii. Secretary, Ministry of Forest Environment and Climate Change, Indira Prayakaran Bhawan, Jorbagh Road, New Delhi-110003.

4. That, Hon'ble NGT vide order dated 15.05.2024 issued notice to all the Respondents. Thereby, the reply is made in succeeding paragraphs on behalf of Secretary, Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti, Shram Shakti Bhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi-110001.


5. That, the concerns raised in the news article referred in Hon'ble NGT order dated 15.05.2024 are regarding alarming impact of climate change on South Asia's major river basins, including the Ganges, Indus and Brahmaputra. It is also mentioned in the news article that the indiscriminate discharge of sewage and industrial waste has



ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
 वरिष्ठ संयुक्त आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

severely polluted the water posing significant risks to both human health and the environment. It also claims that the monsoon season critical for replenishing water resources, now brings devastating floods while dry seasons worsen water scarcity and expresses in this regard that climate-related hazards disproportionately affect vulnerable groups, including women, people with disabilities and marginalized communities.

6. That, the rivers serve as the lifeblood of the nation and are vibrant ecological entities. They are a source of sustenance for our lives and are deeply embedded in our socio-cultural identity. Rivers provide essential ecosystem services, support rich aquatic biodiversity, and offer significant benefits for human well-being. However, over the years, rapid urbanization, industrialization, and population growth have posed increasing threats to river ecosystems. River conservation has thus become one of the government's most critical and challenging priorities.
7. That, it is humbly submitted that, the Government of India (GoI) launched the Namami Gange Programme (NGP) in 2014-15 for the rejuvenation of river Ganga and its tributaries with a budgetary outlay of 20,000 crore, for five years, up to March 2021 and has been further extended to March 2026 with a budgetary outlay of ₹ 22,500 crore. Under the Namami Gange Programme, a diverse and holistic set of interventions for cleaning and rejuvenation of river Ganga have been taken up that includes wastewater treatment, solid waste management, riverfront management (ghats and crematoria), ensuring environmental flow, rural sanitation, afforestation, biodiversity



ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
 वरिष्ठ संयुक्त आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

(4)

conservation, all encapsulated within the new paradigm of people's participation and community engagement. Till June 2024, a total of 467 projects falling within the thematic areas as stated above, have been taken up at an estimated cost of ₹ 39,080.70 Crore, out of which 292 projects have already been completed and made operational.

8. That, it is humbly submitted that, out of the total sanctioned projects, 200 sewerage infrastructure projects have been taken up with a cost of ₹ 32,071 crore for creation & rehabilitation of 6,217 million litres per day (MLD) of sewage treatment plant (STP) capacity and laying of around 5,282 km sewerage network. Among these, 120 sewerage projects have been completed and made operational, resulting in the creation & rehabilitation of treatment capacity of **3241.55 MLD** and 4,528 km of laid down sewerage network. State-wise completed details are as under:

State-wise STPs Summary under the Namami Gange Programme			
SI No.	State	Completed Projects (in nos.)	Total Treatment capacity created (in MLD)
1	Uttarakhand	36	164.5
2	Uttar Pradesh	42	1,045.76
3	Bihar	15	303.5
4	Jharkhand	2	15.5
5	West Bengal	14	465.57
6	Delhi	8	1,064



ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O. P. GUPTA
 वरिष्ठ सयुक्त आयुक्त (विधि) / Senior Joint Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जन संसाधन, भू-संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 श्रम शाखा, इंदौर, भारत
 Shram Bhaga Bhawan, New Delhi-110001


7	Haryana	2	145
8	Himachal Pradesh	1	1.72
9	Rajasthan	0	36
Total		120	3,241.55

9. That, it is humbly submitted that, the other steps and projects taken by the National Mission for Clean Ganga (NMCG) under Namami Gange Programme (NGP) to make the Ganga and its tributaries pollution-free with sustainable cleanliness are as follows:

a) Under the Namami Gange Programme (NGP), Sewerage Infrastructure projects have been sanctioned with a 5 year to 15 year terms of operation and maintenance contract condition to ensure long term sustainable operations and Maintenance to meeting the prescribed water quality norms.

b) For **abatement of industrial pollution**, 5 nos. of Common Effluent Treatment Plants (CETPs) have been sanctioned, i.e., Jajmau CETP (20 MLD), Banther CETP (4.5 MLD), Unnao CETP (2.65 MLD), Mathura CETP (6.25 MLD) and Gorakhpur CETP (7.5 MLD). Two projects- Mathura CETP (6.5 MLD) and Jajmau CETP (20 MLD) have been completed;

c) Annual Inspection of **Grossly Polluting Industries (GPIs)** operating in Ganga main stem states and its tributaries have been undertaken since 2017. The formulation and implementation of a charter aimed at adopting cleaner technology, waste minimization practices, and water conservation through reuse/recycle have been undertaken




ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
 वरिष्ठ संयुक्त आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

6

across five major industrial sectors like Pulp & paper (2012, 2015 & 2024), sugar (2018 & 2024), distillery (in 2018), textile (2019) and tannery (2022). These efforts have resulted in increase in compliance status of GPIs from 39% in 2017 to 82% in 2023, reduction in effluent generation from 349 (Million Litre per Day) MLD in 2017 to 249.31 MLD in 2023 (28.6%) and reduction in BOD Load from 26 (Tonnes Per Day) TPD in 2017 to 13.73 TPD in 2023 (47.2%).


- d) At the NMCG headquarter, an on-line dashboard **Platform for Real-time Analysis of Yamuna, Ganga (PRAYAG)** has been operationalized for continuous monitoring of river water quality; the performance of Sewage Treatment Plants (STPs); etc. on the Ganga and Yamuna River;
- e) A total no. of 139 **District Ganga Committees (DGC)** have been constituted which conducts 4M (Monthly, Mandated, Minuted, and Monitored) meetings regularly. As of June, 2024, more than 3,032 such meetings have been conducted;
- f) In coordination with the selected DGCs, **District Ganga Plans** for 4 districts in Ramganga Basin, i.e., Udham Singh Nagar in Uttarakhand; & Shahjahanpur, Moradabad and Bareilly in Uttar Pradesh have been prepared to foster decentralized planning and better participation of people in river basin management;
- g) For the **conservation of wetlands** (which often acts as sponges in the Gangetic plains and provide delayed and slow release of water in the



ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
 वरिष्ठ सचिव/आयुक्त (नियंत्रण एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

main river channel), 5 projects have been sanctioned in the States of Uttar Pradesh, Bihar & Jharkhand at a cost of ₹ 30.54 crore;


Sl. No.	State – Implementing Agency	Name of Project	Project cost (Rs. in Cr.)	Status of the Project
1	Uttar Pradesh State Forest Department, Uttar Pradesh	Conserving and Sustainably Managing Gangetic Floodplain Wetlands of Uttar Pradesh	4.16	Completed
2	Uttar Pradesh State Forest Department, Uttar Pradesh	UP-SFD: Conserving and sustainably managing three priority wetlands in Kalewala Jheel, Muzaffarnagar District, Numaiya Dahi Jheel - Kheduva Taal, Prayagraj District and Dahtal Reoti wetland, Ballia District of Uttar Pradesh	5.37	Under Progress



ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
वरिष्ठ संयुक्त आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
and Ganga Rejuvenation
भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

3	Bihar State Forest Department, Bihar	Conserving and sustainably managing Gangetic floodplain wetlands of Bihar	2.51	Draft Report Received
4	Jharkhand State Forest Department, Jharkhand	Conserving and sustainably managing Udhwa Lake Bird Sanctuary, Sahibganj, Jharkhand	0.50	Completed
5	Jharkhand State Forest Department, Jharkhand	Integrated Management Plan (IMP) for Udhwa Lake Bird Sanctuary, Sahibganj, Jharkhand, 2024-2026	18.00	Phase I- Work Imitated
		Total cost	30.54	

- h) NMCG through the State Forest Department has implemented a forestry intervention project along the main stem of river Ganga. 33,024 Hectares area have been afforested with indigenous plant species with an expenditure of about 398.5 crore;




ओ.पी. गुप्ता O.P. GUPTA
 वरिष्ठ संयुक्त अधुक्ता (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल ससाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

(9)

State-wise plantation data (Year 2016-17 to 2023-24)		
State	Achievement	
	Area in ha.	Actual Expenditure (Rs. In Cr.)
Uttarakhand	12306	141.85
Uttar Pradesh	9166	77.22
Bihar	8554	121.80
Jharkhand	884	27.81
West Bengal	2115	29.82
Total	33024	398.5

- i) A total of 105 lakhs of **Indian Major Carp (IMC)** fingerlings have been reared in the Ganga since 2017 to conserve fish biodiversity and prey base for apex predator the Gangetic Dolphins, and ensure the livelihood of fishers in the Ganga basin under the special project implemented by Central Inland Fisheries Research Institute (CIFRI);
- j) Science-based **species restoration programme**, rescue, and rehabilitation programme for aquatic species like Dolphins, Otters, Hilsa, Turtles, and Ghariyal in collaboration with Wild Life Institute of India (WII), Dehradun and State Forest Department have shown marked improvements in these faunal biodiversity with increased sightings of Dolphins, Otters, Hilsa, Turtles, and other riverine species.




ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
 वरिष्ठ सयुक्त आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

- k) **Ganga Task Force (GTF)** was raised in the state of Uttar Pradesh to assist NMCG in carrying out its mandated task such as (a) Plantation of trees to check soil erosion, (b) Management of Public Awareness / Participation Campaigns, (c) Patrolling of Sensitive Rivers Areas for Biodiversity Protection, (d) Patrolling of Ghats, etc;
- l) A cadre of Ganga Doots (45,000 Nos), Ganga Praharis (2900 Nos) and Ganga Mitra (700 nos) are involved in public **participatory activities**;
- m) Construction of independent household toilets in **4,507 identified** villages in the five River Ganga states has been completed. All these Ganga bank villages have now been declared open defecation-free (ODF).

10. That, it is humbly submitted that, the Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti has issued Gazette Notification [S.O.5195(E) dated 09.10.2018] specifying the minimum environmental flows to be maintained in river Ganga in the identified stretches. Copy of the said Gazette Notification is attached as **Annexure –R1**.

11. That, it is humbly submitted that, conservation of river is a continuous process. Government of India is supplementing the efforts of the State Governments/UTs in addressing the challenges of pollution of rivers by providing financial and technical assistance.

Assistance is provided to State Governments / UTs for abatement of pollution in identified stretches of various polluted rivers (excluding river Ganga and its tributaries) under the Centrally Sponsored




ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
वरिष्ठ सयुक्त आयुक्त (नैतिक एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
and Ganga Rejuvenation
भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

Scheme of National River Conservation Plan (NRCP) on cost sharing basis between the Central & State Governments/UTs for taking up various pollution abatement works relating to interception & diversion of raw domestic sewage, construction of sewerage systems, setting up of sewage treatment plants, low cost sanitation, effective management of sludge coming out from septic tanks, development and renovation of river front/bathing ghats, etc.

12. That, it is humbly submitted that, schemes taken-up under NRCP programme are primarily aimed at reduction in pollution load in rivers. Apart from improvement of water quality of rivers leading to better public health and ecology of the river systems, the pollution abatement works taken up under NRCP help to improve the aesthetics & sanitation in the towns and in maintaining a cleaner environment. Presently, polluted river stretches (excluding Ganga and its tributaries) of 57 rivers spread over 17 States/UTs are covered under NRCP at a sanctioned cost of Rs.8931.49 crore. An amount of Rs.3766.81 crore toward Central share has been released to various State Governments/UTs for implementation of various pollution abatement schemes and inter-alia a treatment capacity of 2941.03 Million Litres per Day (MLD) has been created under NRCP (as on 31.10.2024).


13. That, it is humbly submitted that, Central Water Commission (CWC) is monitoring water quality at 782 river sites/locations across various river basins (including Brahmaputra Basin and Ganga basin) of the country (as on January, 2023). Details of Basin-wise Water Quality Sites under Central Water Commission are given as **Annexure-R2**.



ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
 वरिष्ठ सहायक आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

14. That, it is humbly submitted that, floods are natural phenomenon worldwide. Monsoon driven India is not an exception to it. The frequent occurrence of floods can be attributed to various factors, including wide variations in rainfall both in time and space with frequent departures from the normal pattern, inadequate carrying capacities of rivers, river bank erosion and silting of river beds, landslides, poor natural drainage in flood prone areas, glacial lake outbursts, etc. The country faces the problem of flood and erosion in varying degrees in different parts. Erosion, movement and deposition of sediment in river are natural regulating functions of a river. Rivers tend to maintain a balance between the silt load carried & silt load deposited, maintaining a river regime. due to temporal and spatial variation in rainfall.

15. That, it is humbly submitted that, flood management including erosion control falls within the purview of the States. Flood management and anti-erosion schemes are formulated and implemented by concerned State Governments as per their priority. The Union Government supplements the efforts of the States by providing technical guidance and also promotional financial assistance for management of floods in critical areas. To strengthen the structural measures of flood management, Union Government had implemented Flood Management Programme (FMP) during XI & XII Plans for providing central assistance to States for works related to flood control, anti-erosion, drainage development, anti-sea erosion, etc. which subsequently continued as a component of "Flood Management and Border Areas Programme" (FMBAP) for the period from 2017-18 to 2020-21 and was further extended up to 2026. Total 427 projects




ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
 ज्येष्ठ सहायक आयुक्त (सिंचाई एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

under FMP component of FMBAP have been completed in various States which give protection to an area of around 5.04 Mha and protected a population of about 53.69 million. Total central assistance amounting to Rs. 7106.47 crore has been released under FMP component to various states upto March 2024.

16. That, it is humbly submitted that, as a non-structural measures, Central water Commission (CWC) has a wide network of flood forecasting stations which contributes significantly towards flood damage reduction and reservoir operation regulation. Presently, CWC issues flood forecasts for 340 flood forecasting stations (200 river level forecast stations & 140 dam/ barrage inflow forecast stations) all over country.

17. That, it is humbly submitted that, Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti, has established a "Centre for Cryosphere and Climate Change Studies" at National Institute of Hydrology (NIH), Roorkee to facilitate effective management of snow and glaciers in India. NIH Roorkee has conducted a study "Snow and glacier changes and their impacts on melt runoff in Himalayan Basin. Baspa river is a major tributary of Satluj river, and out of the total basin, around 18-20% area is covered by glaciers and permanent snow covers. As per the findings of the study, the contribution from glacier melt runoff has been found to reduce from 18 to 12% while snowmelt contribution has increased from 58 to 64% from 2000 to 2018. On the basis of analysis of the 17 watersheds (i.e. dominated by snow and glaciers) out of 30 watersheds

created in the Baspa basin, the glacier melt runoff in glacier




ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
वरिष्ठ संयुक्त आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
and Ganga Rejuvenation
भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

(14)

dominated watersheds is increasing while in other watersheds, it is reducing due to reduced glacier cover. On an average, glacier melt runoff has decreased 14% and 44%, snow melt increased 24 and 42% and rainfall runoff 31 and 40% for the year 2011 to 2018 with respect to the year 2003 from these 17 watersheds. Copy of the study report is attached as **Annexure –R3**

18. That, it is humbly submitted that, that **India is having a well functional bilateral mechanism with Bangladesh under the Joint Rivers Commission framework**. India has been sharing the flood related data with Bangladesh on trans-boundary rivers for the purpose of flood forecasting in their territory which has helped Bangladesh immensely in timely taking precautionary measures. The Ganges Water Sharing Treaty of 1996 has been implemented successfully for sharing the dry weather flows between the two countries since 1997. Similarly, India is having a well functional bilateral mechanism with Nepal for cooperation in water sector on trans-boundary rivers. The bilateral matters like Mahakali Treaty (with Nepal) and Sharing of Ganga Water Treaty (with Bangladesh) are being deliberated / implemented by Ministry of Jal Shakti.

19. That, it is humbly submitted that, there are three institutional mechanisms between India and Bhutan on flood related issues which are: Joint Group of Experts (JGE) on Flood Management; Joint Technical Team (JTT) on Flood management and Joint Experts Team (JET) on Flood Forecasting.



ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
 परिसर सचिव (सीएच एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
 जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
 जल ससाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
 Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
 and Ganga Rejuvenation
 भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
 श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
 Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

15

20. That, it is humbly submitted that, India and China have set up the Joint Expert Level Mechanism (ELM) through a Joint Declaration by both the countries to discuss interaction and cooperation on provision of flood season hydrological data, emergency management and other issues regarding trans-border Rivers.

21. That the Ministry of Jal Shakti is committed to take appropriate measures for the protection and rejuvenation of the rivers with the various programmes envisaged by the Government of India and providing able assistance technically, financially etc. to the State Governments.

I Identify the deponent who has Signed / Put in my presence

[Signature]
Deponent

ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
वरिष्ठ संयुक्त आयुक्त (सीएच एच योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
Dept. of Water Resources, River Development
and Ganga Rejuvenation
भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001



CERTIFIED THAT THE DEPONENT

Shri..... *O.P. Gupta*
S/o Shri..... *Om Prakash Gupta*
R/o..... *Plot No. 15, Sector 14, Connaught Place, New Delhi*
identified by..... *Mr. Anand Singh, Oath Commissioner*
has solemnly affirmed before me that the contents
Delhi on..... That the contents
of the affidavit which has been read over
and explained to him are true and correct to his knowledge

[Signature]
Oath Commissioner, Delhi

Verification

Verified at New Delhi on 13 NOV 2024 Nov. 2024 that the averments and facts mentioned herein above are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing material has been concealed therefrom

I Identify the deponent with my presence
Signature / Put in my presence



[Signature]
Deponent

ओ.पी. गुप्ता / O.P. GUPTA
परिचय संयुक्त आयुक्त (नीति एवं योजना) / Sr. Jt. Commissioner (PP)
जल शक्ति मंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास एवं गंगा संरक्षण विभाग
Deptt. of Water Resources, River Development
and Ganga Rejuvenation
भारत सरकार / Govt. of India
श्रम शक्ति भवन, नई दिल्ली-110001
Shram Shakti Bhawan, New Delhi-110001

CERTIFIED THAT THE DEPONENT

Shri. O.P. Gupta
S/o Shri. Minister of Jal Shakti, Co India
R/o. 996 Connaught Place
has solemnly sworn before me at New Delhi on 13 NOV 2024 That the contents of the affidavit which has been read over and explained to him are true and correct to his knowledge

[Signature]
Oath Commissioner, Delhi

13 NOV 2024

ANNEXURE - R1

Annexure-R1

रजिस्ट्री सं० डी० एल०-33004/99

REGD. NO. D. L.-33004/99


भारत का राजपत्र
The Gazette of India

असाधारण

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 4009]

नई दिल्ली, बुधवार, अक्टूबर 10, 2018/अश्विन 18, 1940

No. 4009]

NEW DELHI, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2018/ASVINA 18, 1940

जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण मंत्रालय

(राष्ट्रीय स्वच्छ गंगा मिशन)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 9 अक्टूबर, 2018

का.आ. 5195(अ).—गंगा नदी अत्यधिक पवित्र और कम कंध के तीर्थों द्वारा अत्यंत पवित्रतायुक्त है तथा गंगा नदी घेरे हुए जल प्रदाय क्षेत्र के निबंधनात्मक भारत में वृद्धतायुक्त नदी घेरे है, जिसमें सम्पूर्ण देश की राष्ट्रवासी प्रतिभात प्रति समिन्धित है तथा जो जलदाय पदाय करीब जलसंख्या के लिए पौषणीय है;

श्रीम गंगा नदी विधेय सुर्णी, विधेयताश्री तथा मद्रव के रूप में अद्वैतीय है जिसका मद्रवपूर्ण जो पित्त और म्वायिक प्रदाय विधेयता के साथ ग्रव विज्ञान, अ-आतुंविज्ञान, ऐतिहासिक, सामाजिक, सांस्कृतिक और श्रमिक कारण है;

श्रीम गंगा नदी को राष्ट्रीय नदी का दर्जा दिया गया है तथा नदी-प्रणाली में विधेयता, श्रेण्, श्रेणीयिक और अन्य प्रवृत्तियों के लिए घेरे में म्वाय पानी की घटती मांग के साथ परेण् अर्थात् और आधुनिक अर्थात् म्वाय विभिन्न क्षेत्रों में प्रदूयण प्रवृत्त कर रहा है, जो नदी के म्वायता की लंबे समय में प्रभावित कर रहा है;

श्रीम भारत सरकार समुचित प्वायण प्रवाह तथा साथ ही नदी में प्रदूयण के प्रवृत्त के निवारण की सुनिश्चित करने के लिए नदियों की पौषिकता की वृद्धाती करने और उसे बनाम म्वाय के लिए बचतकर है;

श्रीम यह सुनिश्चित करना आवश्यक है कि गंगा नदी में हर समय पानी के निर्यात प्रवाह की पूर्ण तरह से बनाम तथा जग, जिसमें मोमरी विधेयताश्री को करने बिना नदी में प्रवाह की निर्याता सुनिश्चित हो सके;

केंद्रीय म्वायण ने प्वायण (संरक्षण) अधिनियम, 1986 (1986 का 29) के अधीन अधिसूचना का.आ. 3187 (अ), तारीख 7 अक्टूबर, 2016 द्वारा गंगा नदी जलसंय के संरक्षण, संरक्षा और प्रवृत्त तथा निर्यात विधेय प्रवृत्तियों के लिए एक प्राधिकरण अर्थात् राष्ट्रीय स्वच्छ गंगा मिश्रता का मद्रव किया था, अर्थात्;

(क) गंगा नदी और उसकी म्वायक नदियों के जल की सुर्णता और प्वायणगीय वृद्धताय संरक्षण, संरक्षा और प्रवृत्त को सुनिश्चित करने के उद्देश्य में सर्वेय विभिन् क्षेत्रों में विभिन्न विधेयों पर बनाम म्वाय के लिए अर्थात् गंगा नदी और उसकी म्वायक नदियों में पारिस्मिन्धित, 5941 GI/2018

प्रवाह के परिणाम की अवधारित कल्पना तथा उसे अधिसूचित कल्पना और पर्याप्त पारिस्थितिक प्रवाह को बनाए रखने के लिए गंगा नदी आवश्यक कदम उठाना या निर्दिष्ट करना।

- (ख) गंगा नदी के तटीय प्रभाग क्षेत्रों के माध्यम से विनिर्मित बिंदुओं पर पानी के औसत प्रवाह को विनिर्मित करना;
- (ग) गंगा नदी तथा उसकी सहायक नदियों में जल के प्रवाह की निरंतर मासिकता के लिए तंत्र विकसित करना;

और केंद्रीय सरकार ने गंगा नदी और उसकी सहायक नदियों में कृत्रिम प्रवाहों को निर्धारित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

2. जल: केंद्रीय सरकार, गंगा नदी (संरक्षण, सुरक्षा एवं प्रबंधन) प्राधिकरण अधिनियम, 2016 के धारा 39 के उपधारा (3) और धारा 41 के उपधारा (2) की मद (ब) के साथ परिचय पर्यावरण संरक्षण अधिनियम, 1986 की धारा 3 की उपधारा (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, सिंचाई, जल विद्युत्, पर्यटन और औद्योगिक उपयोगों तथा अन्य उपयोगों के लिए नदी प्रवाह को परिचालित करने के लिए संरचनाओं या परिवोधनाओं के निम्न प्रकार अवस्थानों पर न्यूनतम पर्यावरणीय प्रवाहों को बनाए रखने के लिए अधिसूचित करती है, अर्थात्:

- I. केवलाखण्ड से हरिद्वार तक जल में मिलने वाले पर्यावरणीय समितियों के माध्यम से और राज्य वार्ड स्तरीयों से आगे की नदी याता
उपरी गंगा नदी बेसिन विस्तार:

क्रम सं.	वर्ग	मास	प्रत्येक घण्टा की 10 घंटे की अवधि के दौरान प्रतिदिन मासिक औसत प्रवाह का प्रतिशत (%)
1.	शुक्र	दशहरा से मार्ग	20
2.	शुक्र	अक्टूबर, अप्रैल और मई	25
3.	रतु प्रवाह काल	जून से सितंबर	30%#

#रतु प्रवाह काल के मासिक प्रवाह का 30%।

- II. हरिद्वार, उत्तराखंड से उज्जव, उत्तर प्रदेश तक गंगा नदी के मुख्य मार्ग का विस्तार:

क्रम सं.	वेगज की अवस्थिति	वेगजों के सन्निकट निम्न धारा को नियंत्रित करने वाला न्यूनतम प्रवाह (क्यूसेक में) (अक्टूबर से मई)	वेगजों के सन्निकट निम्न धारा को नियंत्रित करने वाला न्यूनतम प्रवाह (क्यूसेक में) (जून से सितंबर)
(1)	सीमगीहा (हरिद्वार)	36	57
(2)	चिजनीर	24	48
(3)	हरनीर	24	48
(4)	गानपुर	24	48

क्यूसेक घनमीटर प्रतिसेकण्ड।

- III. उपरोक्त एक पारिस्थितिकी प्रवाह नियंत्रण अधिनियम, अर्थात्:

- (i) न्यूनतम पर्यावरणीय प्रवाह का अनुपालन सभी विकास, निर्माणधीन और प्रविष्ट की परियोजनाओं को लागू किया है;
- (ii) विद्यमान परियोजनाओं जो वर्तमान में इन पर्यावरणीय प्रवाहों के मानकों के अनुपाल नहीं हैं, उनका मूल्यांकन करनी और तब सुनिश्चित करनी कि वांछित पर्यावरणीय प्रवाह मानकों का पालन इन अधिसूचना के तहत करने की शर्तों में तब तक की अवधि के भीतर किया जाए;
- (iii) परियोजना जो संरक्षण की विभिन्न प्रकार की हैं, जहां जमीनों की निकास प्रणाली तब तक की नहीं है और समुचित प्राधिकारों को निर्धारित की गई है, परियोजना को आगे करने के पूर्व और उसके पश्चात् अनुसूचित पर्यावरणीय प्रवाह को बनाए रखने के लिए भी आवश्यक कदम उठाने की;
- (iv) एक और सूक्ष्म परियोजनाओं जो मानवान का न नदी या धारा की प्रवाहों विशेषताओं को परिचालित नहीं करती हैं, इन पर्यावरणीय प्रवाहों में छूट प्राप्त है;

(v) परिशासकीय प्रशासकों को बनाए रखने के लिए जल की वांछनीय मात्राओं को निर्मुक्त की सुनिश्चित करने के लिए, दल नदी आधमों से प्रवाह की दशा में समय-समय पर कालिक अनुसंधानों पर मानीटर की जाएगी;

(vi) केंद्रीय जल आयोग अभिहित प्राधिकारी तथा दादा का संरक्षक द्रोणा तथा प्रवाहों के पर्यवेक्षण, मानीटरिंग, विनियमन तथा जल कमी अपेक्षित हो, समुचित प्राधिकारी को आवश्यक जानकारी रिपोर्ट करने के लिए उत्तरदायी होगा। यह किसी आपदा स्थिति की दशा में जल अंतर्गत मानकों के बारे में सलाह निर्णय लेने के लिए भी प्राधिकृत है। केंद्रीय जल आयोग वैसासिक आधार पर राष्ट्रीय स्वरुद्ध गंगा मिशन को प्रवाह मानीटरी सह-अनुसंधान रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत करेगा;

(vii) संबंधित परियोजना विकासकर्ता या प्राधिकारी हम अधिसूचना की तारीख से दूध मान के भीतर केंद्रीय जल आयोग विनिहित उचित अवस्थाओं पर परियोजना स्थली पर स्थापित दादा अडन और दादा प्रमाण प्रमुविधायन या अपेक्षित आवश्यक अवसंरचना लगायता। प्रवाह मानीटरी प्रमुविधा को लगाने, अंशकृत करने, उसे बनाए रखने का उत्तरदायित्व परियोजना विकासकर्ताओं या प्राधिकारियों का होगा और वे समय-समय पर केंद्रीय जल आयोग को दादा प्रस्तुत करेंगे;

(viii) केंद्रीय सरकार, राष्ट्रीय स्वरुद्ध गंगा मिशन के माध्यम से, जल कमी अपेक्षित हो, गंगा नदी में विशेष मांग को पूरा करने के लिए अनिश्चित जल को निर्मुक्त करने का निर्देश दे सकेंगी।

IV. संबंधित केंद्रीय और राज्य प्राधिकरण, सिंचाई का प्रभाव हो, जल का पुनः उपयोग और पुनः चक्रण, जिसके अंतर्गत विभिन्न प्रयोजनों के लिए भूजल निकालने की मानीटरिंग और विनियमन भी है, जैसे अच्छे और वैसासिक व्यवस्थाओं को अपना कर गंगा नदी के जल निकालने में कमी करने के लिए मांग पर प्रबंध योजना कार्यान्वित करेंगे।

3. यह आदेश हमके राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से प्रवृत्त होगा।

4. यह आदेश उद्भव वाले स्थितियों से अलग होने वाले टानी गंगा नदी बेसिन तथा क्षेत्रों के इतिहासिक और उत्तर प्रदेश के उत्तरांचल जिले तक गंगा नदी की मुख्य धारा को तथा अंत में मिलने वाली हमकी मुख्य सहायक नदियों के समस्त सम्मिलनों पर लागू होगा।

[सा. सं. - Estt.01/2016 17/11/19NMCG (Vol III)]

राजीव बिशोप, कार्यकारी निदेशक (प्रशा.)

MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES, RIVER DEVELOPMENT AND GANGA REJUVENATION
(NATIONAL MISSION FOR CLEAN GANGA)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 9th October, 2018

S.O.5195(E).—Whereas, the River Ganga is the most sacred and deeply revered by the people of this country and the Ganga river basin is the largest river basin in India in terms of catchment area, constituting twenty six per cent of the country's land mass and supporting about half a billion population;

And whereas, River Ganga is unique as having special properties, features and importance, holding reasons that are hydrological, geomorphological, historical, socio-cultural and economical with significant temporal and spatial flow variation;

And whereas, River Ganga has been given status of a National river and the ever increasing demand for water in the basin for irrigation, domestic, industrial and other purposes coupled with pollution ingress from different sources including domestic waste, industrial waste, into river system is affecting the health of the said river for long;

And whereas, the Central Government is committed to restore and maintain the wholesomeness of the rivers ensuring appropriate environment flows and simultaneously preventing the pollution ingress into the said river;

And whereas, it is considered necessary to ensure that uninterrupted flows of water are maintained throughout its length at all times in River Ganga to ensure continuity of flows in the river without altering the seasonal variations;

And whereas the Central Government vide notification S.O. 3187(E), dated the 7th October, 2016 under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (29 of 1986) has constituted an authority, namely, the National Mission for Clean Ganga for Rejuvenation, Protection and Management of River Ganga basin for the following purposes, namely:-

(a) to determine the magnitude of ecological flow in the River Ganga and its tributaries required to be maintained at different points in different areas at all times with the aim of ensuring water quality and environmentally

sustainable rejuvenation, protection and management of River Ganga and its tributaries and notifying the same and take or direct all such measures necessary to maintain adequate ecological flows;

- (b) to specify the average flow of water at specified points through Hydrological Observation Stations of the River Ganga;
- (c) to devise a system for continuous monitoring of flow of water in the River Ganga and its tributaries;

And whereas the Central Government has decided to determine certain flows in the River Ganga and its tributaries;

2. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act 1986 and read with sub paragraph (3) of paragraph 39 and item(h) of sub-paragraph(2) of paragraph 41 of the River Ganga (Rejuvenation, Protection and Management) Authorities Order, 2016, the Central Government hereby notifies the following minimum environmental flows to be maintained at locations downstream of structures or projects meant for diversion of river flows for purposes like irrigation, hydropower, domestic and industrial and other requirements, namely:-

- I. Upper Ganga River Basin Stretch starting from originating glaciers and through respective confluences finally meeting at Devaprayag up to Haridwar:

Sl. No.	Season	Months	(%) Percentage of Monthly Average Flow observed during each of preceding 10-daily period
1	Dry	November to March	20
2	Lean	October, April and May	25
3	High Flow season	June to September	30**

** 30% of monthly flow of High flow season.

- II. Stretch of main stem of River Ganga from Haridwar, Utrakhnad to Unnao, Uttar Pradesh

S. No.	Location of Barrage	Minimum flow releases immediately downstream of barrages (In Cumecs) Non-Monsoon (October to May)	Minimum flow releases immediately downstream of barrages (In Cumecs) Monsoon (June to September)
(1)	Bhimgoda (Haridwar)	36	57
(2)	Bijnor	24	48
(3)	Narora	24	48
(4)	Kanpur	24	48

Cumec - Cubic Meter per second.

- III. The above said ecological flows are subject to the following, namely:-
- (i) the compliance of minimum environmental flow is applicable to all existing, under-construction and future projects;
- (ii) the existing projects, which currently do not meet the norms of these environmental flows, shall comply and ensure that the desired environmental flow norms are complied within a period of three years from the date of issue of this order;

- (iii) the project which is at different stages of construction, where physical progress on ground has been initiated and made and reported to appropriate authority shall also make necessary provisions to maintain the stipulated environmental flow before and after commissioning of the project;
- (iv) the mini and micro projects which do not alter the flow characteristics of the river or stream significantly are exempted from these environmental flows;
- (v) to ensure the release of desired quantities of water to maintain environmental flows, flow conditions in these river reaches shall be monitored at hourly intervals from time to time;
- (vi) the Central Water Commission shall be the designated authority and the custodian of the data and shall be responsible for supervision, monitoring, regulation of flows and reporting of necessary information to the appropriate authority as and when required and also authorised to take emergent decisions about the water storage norms in case of any emergency. The Central Water Commission shall submit flow monitoring-cum-compliance report on quarterly basis to National Mission for Clean Ganga;
- (vii) the concerned project developers or authorities shall install automatic data acquisition and data transmission facilities or required necessary infrastructure at project sites at appropriate locations specified by the Central Water Commission within six months from the date of this order. The installation, calibration, maintenance of flow monitoring facility shall be the responsibility of the project developers or authorities and they shall submit the data to the Central Water Commission from time to time;
- (viii) the Central Government through National Mission for Clean Ganga may direct release of additional water in the River Ganga to meet special demand as and when required.
- IV. The concerned Central and State authorities shall implement demand side management plans to reduce water withdrawal from River Ganga by adopting good and scientific practices such as efficient method of irrigation, reuse and recycle of water including monitoring and regulation of ground water withdrawals for various purposes.
3. This Order shall come into force on the date of its publication in the Official Gazette.
4. This Order shall apply to the upper Ganga River Basin starting from originating glaciers and through respective confluences of its head tributaries finally meeting at Devaprayag up to Haridwar and the main stem of River Ganga up to Unnao district of Uttar Pradesh

[F. No.- Estt.01/2016-17/111/NMCG/Vol III]

RAJIV KISHORE, Executive Director(Admn)

राजपट्टी सं० डी० एल०-33004/99

REGD. NO. D. L.-33004/99



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

असाधारण

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 3006]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, सितम्बर 14, 2019/भाद्र 23, 1941

No. 3006]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2019/BHADRA 23, 1941

जल शक्ति मंत्रालय

(जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण विभाग)

(राष्ट्रीय स्वच्छ गंगा मिशन)

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 14 सितम्बर, 2019

का.आ. 3286(अ).—गंगा नदी अत्यधिक पवित्र और इस देश के लोगों द्वारा अत्यन्त पूजनीय है तथा उसकी बेसिन जल ग्रहण क्षेत्र के निबंधनानुसार भारत में यह नदी बेसिन है तथा नदी प्रणाली में सिंचाई, धरतू, औद्योगिकी और अन्य प्रयोजनों के लिए बेसिन में सदैव पानी की बढ़ती मांग के साथ धरतू अपशिष्ट और औद्योगिक अपशिष्ट सहित विभिन्न स्रोतों से प्रदूषण प्रवेश कर रहा है, जो नदी की स्वच्छता को लंबे समय से प्रभावित कर रहा है;

और, केंद्रीय सरकार को यह सुनिश्चित करना आवश्यक है कि गंगा नदी में हर समय पानी के निर्बाध प्रवाह को पूरी तरह से बनाए रखा जाए, जिससे मीरमयी विभिन्नताओं के बदले बिना नदी में प्रवाह की निरंतरता सुनिश्चित हो सके;

और, भारत सरकार के तत्कालीन जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण मंत्रालय ने भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 3187(अ) तारीख 7 अक्टूबर 2016 के भाग II, खंड 3, उप-खंड (ii) द्वारा गंगा नदी (संरक्षण, सुरक्षा एवं प्रबंधन) प्राधिकरण आदेश, 2016 जारी करने के साथ-साथ एक प्राधिकरण अर्थात् उक्त अधिसूचना में विनिर्दिष्ट विभिन्न प्रयोजनों के लिए गंगा नदी बेसिन के संरक्षण, सुरक्षा एवं प्रबंधन के लिए राष्ट्रीय स्वच्छ गंगा मिशन गठित किया है;

और, केंद्रीय सरकार ने गंगा नदी में उसके चिन्हित हिस्सों को सुरक्षित रखने के लिए न्यूनतम पर्यावरणीय प्रवाह विनिर्दिष्ट करते हुए अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. 5195 (अ) तारीख 9 अक्टूबर, 2018 को एक आदेश (उक्त आदेश) जारी किया था;

और, केंद्रीय जल आयोग ने प्रवाह के पर्यवेक्षण, विनियम और निमाही रिपोर्ट के आधार पर राष्ट्रीय स्वच्छ गंगा मिशन के लिए नामनिर्दिष्ट प्राधिकरण के रूप में अपनी क्षमता के आधार पर 11 जुलाई, 2019 को अपनी रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत की थी, जिसमें सिफारिश की गयी थी कि सभी विद्यमान परियोजनाओं में नियंत्रित गेट लगे स्थलों या जल मार्गों के माध्यम से निर्धारित ई.प्रवाह छोड़ने की व्यवस्था है और इसके लिए परियोजना के हाचे में दबावगत परिवर्तन की आवश्यकता नहीं है;

और केंद्रीय सरकार ने केंद्रीय जल आयोग की उक्त सिफारिशों पर विचार कर लिया है;

और केंद्रीय सरकार का यह विचार है कि विद्यमान परियोजनाओं को उक्त आदेश में विनिर्दिष्ट अनिवार्य पर्यावरणीय प्रवाह का समुचित अनुपालन सुनिश्चित करने के लिए विद्यमान परियोजनाओं को तीन वर्ष की अवधि की अनुमति अत्यधिक है और आवश्यक नहीं है।

अतः अब, गंगा नदी (संरक्षण, सुरक्षा एवं प्रबंधन) प्राधिकरण आदेश, 2016 के पैरा 39 के उप-पैरा (3) और पैरा 41 के उप-पैरा (2) की मद (ज) के साथ प्रदत्त पर्यावरण (संरक्षण) अधिनियम, 1986 की धारा 3 की उपधारा (3) द्वारा शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केंद्रीय सरकार उक्त आदेश संख्या का. आ. 5195 (अ) तारीख 09 अक्टूबर 2018 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है अर्थात् -

उक्त आदेश के पैरा 2 में, उप-पैरा III में, मद (ii) में "तीन वर्ष की अवधि के भीतर" शब्दों के स्थान पर "15 दिसंबर 2019 से पहले" शब्द और अंक रखे जाएंगे।

[का. सं. 05/46/2017-टाईड(एनई)]

राजीव किशोर, कार्यकारी निदेशक (प्रशासन)

टिप्पण: मूल आदेश, भारत के राजपत्र, असाधारण, भाग II, खंड 3, उप-खंड (ii), तारीख 10 अक्टूबर, 2018 में अधिसूचना सं. का.आ. 5195(अ), तारीख 9 अक्टूबर, 2018 द्वारा प्रकाशित किया गया था।

MINISTRY OF JAL SHAKTI

(Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation)

(NATIONAL MISSION FOR CLEAN GANGA)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 14th September, 2019

S.O. 3286(E).—Whereas, the river Ganga is the most sacred and deeply revered by the people of this country and its river basin is the largest river basin in India in terms of catchment area and the ever increasing demand for water in the basin for irrigation, domestic, industrial and other purposes coupled with pollution ingress from different sources including domestic waste, industrial waste, into river system is affecting the health of the said river for long;

And whereas, the Central Government is considered necessary to ensure that uninterrupted flows of water are maintained throughout its length at all times in river Ganga to ensure continuity of flows in the river without altering the seasonal variations;

And whereas, *vide* notification number S.O. 3187(E), dated the 7th October, 2016 published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), the Government of India in the erstwhile Ministry of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation made the River Ganga (Rejuvenation, Protection and Management) Authorities Order, 2016, *inter alia*, constituting an authority, namely, the National Mission for Clean Ganga for Rejuvenation, Protection and Management of River Ganga basin for various purposes specified therein in the said notification;

And whereas the Central Government issued an Order *vide* notification number S.O. 5195(E), dated the 9th October, 2018 (the said Order) specifying the minimum environmental flows to be maintained in river Ganga in the identified stretches;

And whereas, the Central Water Commission in its capacity as the designated Authority for supervision, regulation of flows and reporting on quarterly basis to the National Mission for Clean Ganga, submitted a report dated 11th July, 2019 recommending that all the existing projects have provision for releasing the mandated e-flow through controlled gated spillways or water ways, and structural modifications in the body of the project may not be required for the same;

And whereas, the said recommendations of the Central Water Commission have been considered by the Central Government;

And whereas, the Central Government is of the view that the time period of three years allowed to the existing projects to ensure proper compliance of the mandated environmental flows specified in the said Order, is excessive and not necessary;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 read with sub-paragraph (3) of paragraph 39 and item (h) of sub-paragraph (2) of paragraph 41 of the River Ganga (Rejuvenation, Protection and Management)

Authorities Order, 2016, the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the said Order number S.O. 5195(E), dated the 9th October, 2018, namely:—

2. In the said Order, in paragraph 2, in sub-paragraph III, in item (ii), for the words “within a period of three years from the date of issue of this Order”, the words, letters and figures “before 15th December, 2019” shall be substituted.

[F. No. 05/46/2017-Hyd (NE)]

RAJIV KISHORE, Executive Director (Admn.)

Note : The principal Order was published *vide* notification number S.O. 5195(E), dated the 9th October, 2018 in the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii), dated 10th October, 2018.

(25)

ANNEXURE - R2

Annexure-R2

Table - Details Basin-wise Water Quality Sites under Central Water Commission

S.No.	Basins	GDQ	GDSQ	GQ	WQSS	Grand Total
1	Mahanadi Basin	1	22		15	38
2	Barak and Others Basin	6	18	7		31
3	Brahmani and Baitarni Basin		11	1	15	27
4	Brahmaputra Basin	34	44	76	7	163
5	Cauvery Basin	17	24			41
6	EFR between Pennar and Cauvery	8	4			12
7	EFR between Krishna and Pennar		1			1
8	EFR between Mahanadi and Godavari		4		5	9
9	EFR South of Cauvery	2	4			6
10	Ganga Basin	48	115	6	56	223
11	Godavari Basin	19	26	4	6	55
12	Indus (Up to border) Basin	3	8			11
13	Krishna Basin	14	27	3		44
14	Mahi Basin	2	3			5
15	Narmada Basin	8	11	4	11	34
16	Pennar Basin	4	4			8
17	River draining into Bangladesh Basin		1			1
18	River draining into Myanmar Basin		2			2
19	Sabarmati Basin	1	1		1	3
20	Subarnarekha Basin	1	6		8	15
21	Tapi Basin	1	3			4
22	WFR of Kutch and Saurashtra including Luni Basin	2	3			5
23	WFR South of Tapi	11	31	1	1	44
	Grand Total	182	373	102	125	782

Note: GQ = Gauge & Water Quality; GDQ= Gauge, Discharge & Water Quality; GDSQ= Gauge, Discharge, Sediment & Water Quality, WQSS = Water Quality Sampling Station

ANNEXURE - R3

Annexure-R3

A PROJECT REPORT ON
SNOW AND GLACIER CHANGES AND THEIR
IMPACTS ON MELT RUNOFF IN HIMALAYAN BASINS

(NIH Internal Study)



THE STUDY TEAM

Dr. Vishal Singh, Sc "D"

Dr. Sanjay K. Jain, Sc "G" (Retired)

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF HYDROLOGY

JAL VIGYAN BHAVAN

ROORKEE - 247 667 (INDIA)

MARCH 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	8
1. Introduction	10
1.1. Characteristics of Glacier and Climate Change	12
1.2. Impacts of Climate Change	14
1.3. Scope of the Study	14
2. The Study Area and Data Sources	17
2.1. Baspa Basin	17
2.2. Data Used in the Study	19
3. Literature Review	22
3.1. Glacier and Snow Changes	23
3.2. Climatic Fluctuations	28
4. Methodology	33
4.1. Snow-covered Areas and Glacier Mapping	33
4.2. Snowmelt and Glacier Melt Hydrology of SPHY Model	34
4.2.1. Snowmelt Storage and Runoff	36
4.2.2. Glacier Process and Runoff	36
4.2.3. Surface Runoff, Total Runoff and Routing	41
4.3. Model Calibration	43
4.4. Snowmelt Glacier Melt and Glacier Area Changes	27
5. Results and Discussion	44
5.1. Snow and Glacier Mapping	44
5.2. Assessment of Water Balance Components	49

5.3. Changes in snow melt, glacier melt and rainfall runoff..... 52

5.4. Effect of glacier area reduction in 61

5.5. Long-term Changes in Snow Cover in Baspa Basin..... 69

5.6. Climate Change Impact Assessment on Different Runoff
Components..... 72

6. Conclusion 77

References 79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Showing location, study area, glaciers, elevations and other features of the Himalayan Baspa River basin (up to Sangla gauge).

Figure 2: Map showing the loss in glaciers (%) within different basin of the Himalaya region from 1960-2000, based on satellite images. The various sub-basins include 1. Bhut, 2. Zansker, 3. Kang Yatz Massif, 4. Warwan, 5. Miyar, 6. Bhaga, 7. SamudraTapu, 8. Chandra, 9. Parbati, 10. Baspa, 11. Bokriani, 12. Bhagirathi, 13. Alaknanda, 14. Naimona'nyi region, 15. Mt. Everest region, 16. AX010, 17. Sagarmath national park, 18. Tista, 19. Bhutan Himalaya (source: Kulkarni, 2011).

Figure 3: Showing the computation methodology of snow cover and glacier maps.

Figure 4: Schematic and methods of SPHY modeling framework.

Figure 5: Temporal variations in glacier maps of Baspa basin highlighting reduction in the glacier area from 2000 to 2018: (a) 2000, (b) 2006, (c) 2011, (d) 2018 and (e) overlay of all year maps.

Figure 6: Highlighting similarities and variations in the monthly snow-covered areas (SCA) between MODIS derived snow covers and SPHY derived snow covers: (a) SCAs for 2010 and (b) SCAs for the year 2018.

Figure 7: Highlighting similarities and variations in the monthly snow-covered areas (SCA) between MODIS derived snow covers and SPHY derived snow covers: (a) SCAs for 2010 and (b) SCAs for the year 2018.

Figures 8: (a) time series plots between MODIS derived monthly SCAs during 2010, (b) time series plots between MODIS derived monthly SCAs during 2018, (c) regression plots showing comparison between observed snow and modelled snow-covered areas (%) during 2010, and

(d) regression plots showing comparison between observed snow and modelled snow-covered areas (%) during 2018.

Figure 9: Comparison of SCAs (%) between SPHY and MODIS corresponding to each elevation zone (EZ) during 2010.

Figure 10: Time series and regression plots showing the comparison between simulated streamflow (including snowmelt and glacier melt) against observed streamflow at Sangla gauge during: (a, b) 2003-2010 and (c, d) 2011-2018.

Figure 11: Average annual scenarios of main water balance components such as simulated runoff (Sim Q), Glacier melt runoff (Glacier Q), Snowmelt runoff (Snow Q), rainfall induced runoff (Rain Q) and runoff from the baseflow (Base Q) simulated by SPHY during (a) 2003-2010 and (b) 2011-2018.

Figure 12: Annual variations in Sim Q, Rain Q, Snow Q, Glacier Q and Base Q computed in during 2003-2018

Figure 13: Shows the distribution and amount of runoff components viz. snow Q, glacier Q, rain Q and base Q vary from upstream watersheds to downstream watersheds.

Figure 14: Shows decadal changes in different runoff components at Sangla.

Figure 15: Variations in Sim Q (a), Glacier Q (b), Snow Q (c) and Rain Q (d) computed during two times i.e. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018.

Figure 16: Monthly variations in Sim Q, Snow Q, Glacier Q and Rain Q during the time series sets 2003-2010 (a, c, e, g) and 2011-2018 (b, d, f, h).

Figure 17: Month wise variations and trends in Sim Q, Rain Q, Glacier Q and Snow Q: (a) variations in Sim Q and Rain Q, (b) variations in Glacier Q and Snow Q.

Figure 18: Annual variations and trends in Snow Q and Glacier Q across 17 stations out of 30 stations dominated with snow and glaciers during 2003-2018.

Figure 19: Monthly variations in (a) Glacier Q and (b) Snow Q across 17 stations dominated with snow and glaciers during 2003-2018.

Figure 20: Highlighting changes in glacier maps during (a) 2000, (b) 2006, (c) 2011, (d) 2018 and (e) comparing variations in glacier maps corresponded to elevations.

Figure 21: Glacier area and glacier melt runoff (glacier Q, daily mean in m^3/s) changes as per selected watersheds comprises with large size and small size glaciers in BRB.

Figure 22: Shows the long-term change in snow cover (%) in the Historical time during 1951-2014.

Figure 23: Shows the long-term change in snow cover (%) during 2015-2100 as per (CMIP6 Models) SSP245.

Figure 24: Figure 2: Shows the long-term change in snow cover (%) during 2015-2100 as per (CMIP6 Models) SSP245.

Figure 25: Shows the annual average trends and changes in different runoff components are the Sangla gauge under different CMIP6 scenarios: SSP245 and SSP585.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Characteristics of the Himalayan Baspa River Basin.

Table 2: Data available at NASA's GLCF for the study area.

Table 3: SPHY model parameters used for the simulation during both time series i.e. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018.

Table 4: Fraction (%) of different runoff components at Sangla in Baspa basin.

Table 5: Shows the changes in different runoff components at each watershed under different scenarios.

Table 6: Changes in glacier areas in different times in the Baspa River basin.

Table 7: Shows the changes in different sizes of glaciers in the randomly selected watersheds.

Table 8: Shows a comparative assessment of different runoff components—Glacial Melt (Glac Q), Snowmelt (Snow Q), Rainfall (Rain Q), and Baseflow (Base Q)—across three time periods: Historical (1981-2014), Near Future Time (NFT, 2021-2050), and Far Future Time (FFT, 2061-2090).

ABSTRACT

Monitoring the changes in glaciers and their impact on melt runoff is significant to assess the availability of water resources in the Himalayan basins. In this study, the spatial processes in Hydrology (SPHY) model was incorporated with variable degree-day factors coupled with temperature index model in the Baspa River basin, a major tributary of Satluj River, located in Western Himalaya. The temporal glacier maps derived from the LANDSAT satellite sensors and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) derived snow-covered area (SCA) maps have been used to compute the snow and glacier melt runoff separately. The SCA maps generated through the model were found comparable to the MODIS derived SCA maps for the years 2000 and 2008. Model simulation results showed that SPHY based computed discharge at the outlet i.e. Sangla gauge was found satisfactory when compared to the observed discharge and R^2 computed > 0.7 . The contribution from glacier melt runoff has been found to be reduced from 18 to 12% while snowmelt contribution increased from 58 to 64% from 2000 to 2018. On the basis of analysis of the 17 watersheds (i.e. dominated by snow and glaciers) out of 30 watersheds created in the basin, the glacier melt runoff in glacier dominated watersheds is increasing while in other watersheds it is reducing due to reduced glacier cover. On an average, glacier melt runoff has decreased 14% and 44%, snow melt increased 24 and 42% and rainfall runoff 31 and 40% for the year 2001 to 2018 with respect to the year 2003 from these 17 watersheds. As per SPHY, corresponding to glacier map of the year 2000, the glacier ice volume has been computed around 13.41 km^3 , while for the glacier map of 2018, it has been reduced around 10.99 km^3 .

Considering the climate change impacts through CMIP6 model scenarios, the comparative assessment of snow cover and runoff components under historical, SSP245 (i.e. moderate emission scenario) and SSP585 (i.e. high emission scenario) scenarios reveals noteworthy changes. The historical time period (1951-2014) shows relatively stable snow cover and runoff

patterns, with snowmelt being the dominant source of water. Although, projections under SSP245 and SSP585 indicate profound shifts in snow and glacier induced changes in terms of snow cover and runoff. Under SSP245, moderate emissions lead to a gradual decline in snow cover and snowmelt runoff, with increases in rainfall and glacial melt contributing more significantly to total runoff. Snowmelt decreases by 14.75% and 44.45% in the near and far future, respectively, while glacial melt and rainfall increase substantially. The scenario reflects a slow but steady transition from snow-driven to rain and glacier-driven runoff, particularly after 2050. In contrast, SSP585, with higher emissions, shows much more drastic changes. Snow cover and snowmelt decline sharply, with a 53.77% reduction in snowmelt runoff by 2090. Rainfall and glacial melt increase significantly, especially after mid-century, resulting in more extreme total runoff fluctuations.

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Cryosphere is a significant component of Earth's systems. The glacier-related feedback mechanisms govern atmospheric, hydrospheric and lithospheric response (Bush, 2000; Shroder and Bishop, 2000; Meier and Wahr, 2002). The earth's surface has been influenced repeatedly over the past three million years by long periods of glaciations, separated by short warm interglacial periods. Approximately 47 million sq. km area of the earth was covered by glaciers during peak glaciations, which is estimated to be three times more than the present ice cover over the earth (Price, 1973). On planet Earth, there is about 26 million km³ of ice at present, contributing almost 10% to the world's land area coverage. In the Himalaya, approximately 33,000 km² area is covered by the glaciers (Dyurgerov and Meier, 1997) with a total number of 9,600 glaciers in the Indian Himalaya (Raina and Srivastava, 2008) which is one of the largest concentrations of glacier-stored water after the Polar Regions (Kulkarni and Buch, 1991). Himalayan glaciers are an important source of water of the North Indian Rivers during critical summer months. Water discharge from Himalayan glaciers contributes to the overall river runoff (Immerzeel et al., 2010) and water discharge from Himalayan glaciers is important for irrigation and hydropower generation (Singh et al., 2009).

Glaciers are considered a key indicator of climate as they often react sensitively to climate change (Oerlemans, 1994). Widespread glacier retreat in many parts of the world supports this (Bolch et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2011). Alpine glaciers are also thought to be very sensitive to climate change within the Himalaya, due to the altitude range and the variability in debris cover (Nakawo et al., 1997). It is therefore, necessary to map and monitor alpine glacial fluctuations from the perception of climate-change (Bishop et al., 2004).

Like in many other parts of the world, most of the Himalayan glaciers are shrinking (Kadota et al., 2000; Ren et al., 2006; WWF, 2005). Since 1850s, the Himalayan glaciers are in a general state of recession (Mayewski and Jeschke, 1979; Bhambri and Bolch, 2009). Over the past few decades, an increased receding trend has been exhibited by Himalayan glaciers as depicted by several past studies (Kukami et al., 2005 and 2007; Bolch et al., 2008; Chaujar, 2009; Mehta et al., 2011). Approximately, retreat of a kilometre has been shown by the Himalayan glaciers since the Little Ice Age (Mool et al., 2001). Simultaneously, since the late 20th century, the Earth's surface temperature has risen dramatically (Solomon et al., 2007). From the north-western Himalayan region, the weather data records have depicted an increase of about 1.7 °C in temperature over the past century and a decreasing trend in monsoon precipitation (Bhutiyani et al., 2007 and 2009). These changes are documented by periodic inventories of the glaciers. Now, remote-sensing techniques using satellite data are also used for monitoring glacier extent and changes (Bishop et al., 1998; Silverio and Jaquet, 2005; Strozzi et al., 2008; Bhambri et al., 2011), and for establishing databases to assess these changes at regional and global scale (Koilmeyer, 1980; Bishop and Shroder, 2004; Raup et al., 2007; Bolch et al., 2010). In addition, for improving our knowledge of glacier response to climate change, regular monitoring of a large number of glaciers is important globally including the Himalayas. However, due to the rugged and inaccessible terrain and also larger number of glaciers, the use of conventional methods for monitoring of Himalayan glaciers is normally difficult. Some field-based records have been made at selected Himalayan glaciers. This may not provide a complete and representative scenario of glacial retreat. Multitemporal and multispectral satellite data provide abundant potential for mapping and monitoring the large spatial coverage of glaciers at regular temporal intervals, as they allow semi-automated glacier mapping (Paul et al., 2009; Racoviteanu et al., 2009; Bolch et al., 2010).

As mentioned, several mapping and monitoring studies exist for the Himalayan glaciers and a few studies have also been published on the mapping and variability of the Baspa basin glaciers (e.g. Kulkarni et al., 2002 and 2003; Raina and Srivastava, 2008). The present study examines mountain glacier changes in Baspa River basin over the past 40 years and comparatively evaluates air temperature, snow water equivalent and precipitation trends. Changes in areal extent and volumes are used as indices to measure glacier changes. Survey of India (SOI) topographical maps and Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) datasets have been used to map these changes.

1.1 Characteristics of glacier and climate change

During recent decades, the climate change impact studies associated with global warming has been given ample attention worldwide. As per the IPCC, (2007), by the end of this century, the temperatures are mostly likely expected to increase by 1.8-4°C (3.2-7.2°F). After the industrial revolution of the developed world, the continuous increase in Global temperatures since 1750s, has fuelled the notion that the Earth's warming is most likely due to the anthropogenic activities. In India, Gangwar, (2006), has reported that the trend of climate change has shown a sudden acceleration in pace after 1971. Eleven of the warmest years were recorded since 1990, with 2005 as the warmest on record (ICIMOD, 2007). Like other regions, the most obvious and clear impact of climate change, is certainly the widespread melting and retreat of Himalayan glaciers (Scherler et al., 2011). The glaciers respond more sensitively to climatic variations than most other ice bodies on surface of the Earth (Kääb et al., 2007). Therefore, the glaciers especially mountain glaciers are considered the key indicators of climate change particularly in remote areas (IPCC, 2007). However, the wide spread debris-covered Himalayan glaciers exhibit different patterns of dynamics (Scherler et al., 2011).

The retreat may be identified through the observation and demarcation of lateral and terminal moraines which generally indicate the wide spread historical extents of glaciers. In

addition, the recent glacier fluctuations are easily observed through the changes in surface area/length and variable positions of the snout of the glaciers. However, the area/length changes give a filtered signal of the climate change as the glacier requires adjusting to the changing situation generally called as response time. The response time varies for each glacier and depends mainly on its thickness and the overall climatic conditions. For example, the dynamic response time for glaciers in a temperate climate having a thickness of 150-300 m is 15-60 years (Bahr et al., 1998). Normally, the response of glaciers to climatic fluctuations is a complex phenomenon. The response of glaciers also depends on the non-climatic factors such as the ice dynamics, glacier hypsometry and topographic parameters.

Basically, the mass balance is the key and important parameter to observe about the health of the glaciers as it is the direct and sensitive signal of climatic fluctuations. The mass balance studies deal with the mass of a glacier and the distribution of its changes in space and time (Paterson, 1994). Under warming conditions, the positive mass balance of glaciers may result in glacier advance, if the warming occurs mainly in the winter months due to the occurrence of more solid precipitation. Over a one hydrological year, the altitude where accumulation balances ablation is known as the equilibrium line altitude (ELA). The ELA is an important characteristic feature of a glacier and on an annual basis; the ELA reacts to a combination of climate variables, particularly air temperature and precipitation. Hence, a glacier may advance or retreat even if the net mass balance is zero. If the net balance equals zero over many years the glacier is determined to be in a steady state. Another typical characteristic of the glacier is its motion which can be distinguished as glacier flow and basal motion. Overall, the motion depends on the topographic conditions, mass gain and the climate. However, it is interesting to note that some glaciers, advance suddenly with much higher velocities leading to a phenomenon, called as glacier surge.

1.2 Impacts of climate change

In the high mountain areas of the world, the role of snow and ice as an important source of freshwater has been highlighted by several earlier studies (e.g., Kaser et al., 2010; Immerzeel et al., 2010). The glaciers are the most sensitive records of climate changes and active geomorphic agents in shaping the landforms of glaciated regions. The glacial landforms are the direct imprints of past glaciations, providing reliable proof of the evolution of the past cryosphere and contain important information on climate variables as well. There are serious concerns about the potential impacts of reduction of snow and glacier under warming climate on the social and economic development (Barry, 2006; Adedoye and Ojha, 2010) with its hydro-ecological consequences to surrounding communities (Kehrwald et al., 2008; Milner et al., 2009) and wide implications for decision makers (Adedoye, 2013). The climate change has also its influence on the functionality of dams and reservoir characteristics (Soundharajan et al., 2013). Importantly, the loss in Alpine glaciers may have a severe impact on the regional water supplies (Kaser et al., 2010), contribution to sea level rise (Kaser et al., 2006), and increased related hazards such as outburst floods from moraine-dammed lakes (Du et al., 2012). These abrupt-onset floods symbolize high-magnitude, low-frequency catastrophic phenomena and have massive potential for geomorphological reworking along the channels and floodplain environments (Worni et al., 2012; Westoby et al., 2014).

1.3 Scope of the study

Snow and glaciers in the Himalaya and Trans- Himalayan Mountain belt are nearest to Tropic of Cancer and hence, heat up more than the Arctic and Antarctic ice sheets or other temperate glaciers. Thus, these glaciers provide a distinctive opportunity to understand the changes in area, thickness, mass balance, their consequences on water resources and related hazards, changes in length and snout fluctuations that can be modeled for different types of climatic regimes. However, due to the lack of comprehensive ground-based observations

(Winiger et al., 2005); detailed knowledge on snow and glaciers behavior under different climatic patterns is limited (Wagnon et al., 2007). Similarly, the quantitative assessments of the different hydrologic components and their relative contribution to overall river discharge are rare and include large uncertainties (Singh and Jain, 2003; Kumar et al., 2007b). However, recent advances in glaciological and hydrological research that are based on time series of high-resolution remote sensing data that account for the high spatial heterogeneity of climate and surface processes in alpine terrain has made it possible to get a brief comprehension (Molotch and Norte, 2009; Bookhagen and Burbank, 2010). Accurate assessments of major glaciological and hydrological components are vitally important, because they define the boundary conditions and thus result in an outcome of any modeling study addressing climate change impacts in the Himalaya (Akhtar et al., 2008; Immerzeel et al., 2010).

To understand and predict the response of glaciers to climate change with its impacts on sea level change, watershed hydrology and glacier-related hazards, continuous monitoring of glacier changes is an important aspect. Furthermore, the climatic stations in the altitude of glaciers are rare and the climate-glacier relation needs to be better investigated in order to fully understand the glaciers feedback. Similarly, to predict and mitigate the socio-economic impacts of climate change, rigorous assessment and quantification of links existing between the glacier, snow cover, climate and hydrology is very imperative. In addition, this has necessitated the assessment and monitoring of potentially hazardous glacial lakes through the use of remote sensing, especially in high mountainous areas due to inaccessibility and lack of field surveys (Quincey et al., 2005). The Geoinformatics provides the pragmatic approaches to carry out the all kinds of geoenvironmental studies (Pirasteh et al., 2011, Yusof et al., 2011) and offer great opportunities in the Himalaya and in areas lacking traditional field-based glaciological methods. Although, in the Indian Himalaya, the number of glacial lakes present, are not as well-known currently. But the glacial lakes are developing at an increasing and threatening rate

in response to continuous glacier recession and hence, can be disastrous in near future (Benn et al., 2001). Thus, in the Indian part of Himalaya, a large research gap exists in modeling potential hazards related to existing and future glacial lakes.

CHAPTER 2

THE STUDY AREA AND DATA SOURCES

2.1. Baspa Basin

Baspa River is a major tributary of the great river Satluj which originates from Tibet in Great Himalayan Mountain Range at an elevation of more than 5141 meters above mean sea level. A few km downstream of the Indo-China border Satluj river meets its biggest tributary, the Spiti River, joining Satluj from a north-westerly direction and having its source close to Kunjamla. After the confluence with Spiti river, Satluj river takes a some 70 km run through Kinnar in a South-Westerly direction until its confluence with its second major tributary, Baspa river, which joins it from South-Eastern direction.




Figure 1: Showing location, study area, glaciers, elevations and other features of the Himalayan Baspa River basin (up to Sangla gauge).

Several nallas and khuds contribute to its flows. Prominent among these are Arssomang, Maosu, Janapa, Rimdarang, Shusang, Sigam, hurba and Hania. Numerous glaciers also drain into it, most important being Saroo glacier on the right bank, and Hania and Billare glaciers on the left bank. After flowing for a length of 66 km, the Baspa ultimately joins the Satluj. Gross elevation difference from the origin of the river up to its confluence with the Satluj is 2825 m. Such a considerable drop within a short distance offers very favourable condition for hydropower development. Catchment area of the river Baspa at the proposed barrage site at Sangla is ~1100 sq km. The catchment is elongated and leaf shaped.

The Baspa River basin is located in Kinnaur District, Himachal Pradesh, India and stretches between 31° 05' to 31° 30' N latitude and 78° 00' to 78° 50' E longitude. The Baspa River is a major tributary of the Satluj River, which drains eastern part of the Himachal Pradesh. The river originates at Arsomang and Baspa Bamak glaciers and travels 72 km through the valley before joining the Satluj River at Karcham. The location map of the Baspa basin is shown in Figure 1. The basin is comprised of valley glaciers that vary in form from simple to compound glaciers of varying sizes. The basin is highly glacierized and located in the higher-altitude range, and is west flowing with most of the glaciers located in the northern slope of the Pir Panjal Mountain range. The main glaciers of the Baspa valley are Baspa Bamak, and Shaune Garang, Joya Garang and Karu. Due to high altitude, the stream flow is mostly generated from snow and glaciers melt runoff. In socio-economic terms, the Baspa Basin is important as many mini and micro-hydropower stations are being planned in this basin. Therefore, it is important to monitor the variations in glaciers for the Baspa Basin.

Table 1: Characteristics of the Himalayan Baspa River Basin.

Sl. No.	Characteristics	Baspa Basin
1	Selected Study Area (Km ²)	1100
2	Mean Elevation (m)	4092
3	Maximum Elevation (m)	6442

4	Minimum Elevation (m)	1742
5	Number of Glaciers	109
6	Glacier Area (RG6.0)	230.74
7	Glacier Area Delineated in Different Years	221.17(2000), 176.96(2018)
8	Glacier Area (%) covered with Clean Ice	75-78
9	Glacier Area (%) covered with Debris	22-25
10	Average Snow Cover Area Max-Min (%) - MODIS	95.12 (Jan)-36.81 (Jul)
11	Average Snow Cover Area Max-Min (%) - SPHY	98.71 (Jan)/46.47 (Jul)
12	Annual Precipitation (mm)	800mm - 1400mm
13	Average Annual Min/Max Temperature (°C)	(-11°C /28°C)
14	Annual Average Glacier Melt Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	4.34
15	Annual Average Snowmelt Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	25.31
16	Annual Average Rainfall Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	10.03
17	Annual Average Base Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	5.26
18	Annual Average Total Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	45

2.2 Data Used in the Study

In this study, to setup the SPHY model various thematic data layers such as landuse/landcover (LULC) map, soil map, digital elevation model (DEM), real time snow covers, glacier maps and meteorological parameters such as daily precipitation, daily minimum and maximum temperature, daily average temperature have been used. The GlobCover moderate resolution LULC map (prepared in 2009) has been utilized. The Globcover dataset is available freely and can be obtained from ESA website in GeoTIFF Format in the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS 84) coordinate system at 10 arc-seconds (~300 m) spatial resolution (http://due.esrin.esa.int/page_globcover.php). In this study, a high-resolution soil map of hydraulic properties (HiHydroSoil version 1.2, 2016) based soil map has been utilized (<http://soilgrids1km.isric.org/>). The details about the soil map and their parameters are given by de Boer (2016). For DEM, Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission (SRTM) based DEM with 30 m spatial resolution has been utilized for the extraction of topographic parameters (<https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/>).

For the meteorological datasets, Indian Meteorological Department (IMD) gridded datasets ($0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$) and Climate Hazards Group InfraRed Precipitation with Site data (CHIRPS) available at 0.05° resolution scale have been utilized (Gupta et al., 2019; Prakash, 2019). Both the datasets have been interpolated at the same scale ($0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$) and then the quantile regression based on cumulative density function (CDF), a bias correction method, has been applied to correct the CHIRP precipitation with reference to IMD precipitation datasets. As per previous studies, the quantile mapping method has been found the best method for the bias correction of the precipitation datasets (Singh and Xiaosheng, 2019; Cannon et al., 2015). The reliability of CHIRPS precipitation data has already been evaluated by few researchers across India and they resulted that CHIRPS performed superior among other global precipitation datasets, especially in capturing precipitation extremes (Gupta et al., 2019; Prakash, 2019). Total 15 precipitation grids have been found closer to the study area and hence utilized. For re-gridding and bias correction, python programming based Xarray and NumPy modules have been utilized (Byers et al., 2018). Daily minimum, maximum and average temperature data was obtained from IMD has been utilized for the analysis. For model calibration, the observed discharge (2003-2018) available at Sangla gauge has been utilized.

For the preparation of glacier maps, Landsat MSS TM, ETM+, TM and Landsat 8 satellite sensors-based dataset have been utilized. Fortunately, for the year 2006, the selected study area was in the center of ETM+ scene, almost not affected by scan line errors (SLE) (Mir et al., 2017). For snow covers extraction, MODIS (MOD10A2) having 500 m spatial resolution datasets from 2003 to 2018 were downloaded (<https://nsidc.org/data/MOD10A2>) and processed to extract snow covered area by adopting the methodology as previously used by Shukla et al. (2017). The snow-covered area (SCA) evaluation has been done on the monthly scale and therefore MODIS 8-daily scenes were processed and then aggregated at the monthly scale (Hall and Riggs, 2016).

Table 2: Data available at NASA's GLCF for the study area.

Sensor type	Resolution	Path- Row	Acquisition Date	Availability
MSS	79m	157-038	01.12.1979	Snow covered
TM	30m	146-038	10.21.1990	Snow covered
ETM ⁺	30m	146-038	22.10.1999	Available
		146-038	08.10.2000	Available
		146-038	23.09.2006	Available

CHAPTER 3

LITERATURE REVIEW

The Himalaya is unique and highest mountain chain of the world. It is often referred to as the "third pole" (Schild, 2008) and the "water tower of Asia" (Xu et al., 2009). The Himalayan region affects, directly or indirectly, the living of over 300 million people of the Indian subcontinent (Schild, 2008). The region controls flow to the three major river systems: the Ganges, the Brahmaputra, and the Indus. These river systems play an essential role in the economy of many countries including India which depends greatly on it for hydropower, water supply, agriculture, and tourism. However, these delicate Himalayan resources are highly prone to natural hazards, leading to serious concerns especially about current and future climate change impacts on the water stored in snow and glaciers (Cruz et al., 2007). In the region, the climate change concerns are multifaceted encompassing, snow cover changes, glacier retreat, expansion of glacier lakes and glacier lake outburst floods, droughts, landslides, flash floods, (Barnett et al., 2005), agriculture livelihood, human health, biodiversity, and food security (Xu et al., 2009; Pirasteh et al., 2010; Nakhapakorn and Tripathi, 2005). Thus, regular monitoring of Himalayan cryosphere (snow/glaciers) is important for improving our knowledge of its response to climate change. For this purpose, field investigations are highly suggested. However, keeping in view the time and logistical constraints in such a remote and rugged Himalayan region, only a limited number of glaciers can be monitored. Therefore, techniques of Geoinformatics along with limited field checks appear to be the only means of mapping and monitoring cryospheric fluctuations in this region (Pankaj et al., 2012).

Snow and glaciers covered area and runoff generated due to melt is having an important role in the hydrology of glaciated basin. The Himalaya plays a prominent role in the precipitation and spatial-temporal distribution of runoff through their orographic effect and

water storage in the form of seasonal snow and glacier systems as well as ground water systems (Immerzeel et al., 2009). Contrary to glaciers, seasonal snow accumulates and discharges water mainly within one annual cycle. The Himalaya has vast resources of snow and glaciers and provides water to the population living in the Indus, Ganges and Brahmaputra basins (Kumar et al., 2018; Kulakrmi, 2012; Xu et al., 2009; Singh and Jain, 2002). In comparison to the Ganga and the Brahmaputra, the Indus basin is more dependent on upstream water resources, because of its very arid downstream climate, westerly influenced precipitation regimes and large glacier systems (Lutz et al., 2014; Immerzeel and Bierkens, 2012; Schaner et al., 2012).

As reported, the glacier and snow changes are taking place mainly in response to climatic change. Therefore, in the present research, the trend analysis of various climatic variables was carried out. The study also involves the glacier mapping and change detection studies using geo-spatial techniques. However, the uneven changes in glaciers are attributed to the local topographic and morphometric factors of the glaciers. Thus, keeping these facts in perspective, the present chapter gives a review of the previous studies carried out within the Himalayan region pertaining to climate change which includes glacier and snow changes, changes in climatic variables vis a vis changes in stream flow and glacier lake expansion associated with outburst floods (GLOF). Before this, a brief description of use of Geoinformatics for cryospheric studies is also presented.

3.1 Glacier and snow changes

In the Himalayan region, it is reported that the glacier retreat begun with more gradual climate warming since the Little Ice Age (LIA), which occurred approximately from 1650 to 1850 (Oerlemans, 2005). But, during recent decades, the new and obvious evidence has accelerated the rate of that change (Zemp et al., 2008) and most of the glaciers are dying out to melt in the next 40 years (Chamling, 2005). Majority of the earlier studies showed that the Himalayan glaciers are diminishing and have been in the state of recession since 1850s (Mayewski and

Jeschke, 1979; Bahuguna et al., 2013); with the noticeable exception of the Karakorum region where some glaciers are advancing and is known as Karakorum anomaly (Hewitt, 2005). Recently, Pandey et al., (2011) has reported a retreating trend of 26 glaciers in the western Indian Himalaya from 1975-2007 based on satellite data analysis. Similarly, Scherler et al., (2011) carried out an analysis of satellite data for the monitoring of 286 mountain glaciers from the Hindu Kush, Karakorum, western Indian Himalaya, Tibetan Plateau, West Kunlun Shan, and southern central Himalaya (Nepal, Bhutan, Sikkim, Uttarakhand, and Himachal) during the period between 2000-2008. After analysis, they observed that 58% of studied glaciers under the westerlies-influenced Karakorum region are stable and slowly advancing; while more than 65% of glaciers under the monsoon-influenced regions are receding along with several heavily debris-covered with gentle terminus slope glaciers being stable. Interestingly, they recognized a higher concentration of receding glaciers (79%) in the western Indian Himalayan region and in the northern central Himalaya and West Kunlun Shan (86%) where dominantly debris-free glaciers are present. The rates of retreat are varying between -9.10 ± 5.87 and -14.64 ± 5.87 m a^{-1} and most retreating glaciers are located at elevations of 4700-6400 m amsl (Nie et al., 2010). The glacier changes studied during 1962–2001 in few parts of the Zaskar valley, western Himalaya indicated an area loss of 18.2% with the snout retreat rate varying from 6 to 33 m a^{-1} (Nathawat et al., 2008). In a recent study over the Kashmir Himalayan region, located in western Himalaya, Murtaza and Romshoo, (2015) reported a 17% loss in area of glaciers. In Zaskar Himalayan Range, (Pandey et al., 2011) has noted a terminus retreat rate of 3–42 m a^{-1} and up to 88 m a^{-1} of 26 glaciers during the period from 1975–1989 and 1989–2001 respectively. A similar study, carried out by Nathawat et al., (2008) in the parts of Doda Valley, Zaskar mountain range reported a retreat rate of 6–33 m a^{-1} between the years 1962-2001 based on analysis of 13 glaciers. In the same region, Kamp et al., (2011) in a current study, reported a retreat rate of 23 m a^{-1} and 10–55 m a^{-1} during 1975–2003 and 2003–2008 respectively). In the

Himalayan region, the retreat of glaciers will have a large impact, as glaciers play an important role in river runoff (Huss and Hock, 2018).

In the Himachal Himalaya, Northwest Himalayan region, the glaciers considered during the years from 1962-2001 in the Chenab basin has retreated at a rate of $0.53\% \text{ a}^{-1}$, in Parbati at a rate of $0.56\% \text{ a}^{-1}$ and in Baspa at rate $0.48\% \text{ a}^{-1}$ (Kulkarni et al., 2007). In the Chenab Basin, the Samudra tapu glacier's snout has receded by about 756 m during 1963–2004 and the total glacier area was reduced by 13.7 km^2 (Shukla et al., 2009). Temporal variability is observed in the Gangotri Glacier, a well-monitored Indian glacier, (Kargel et al., 2011). In Khumbu Himalaya, an average glacier area loss of about 5% has been reported between the years 1962-2005 (Bolch et al., 2008). A 19% glacier loss is reported in Warwan basin western Himalaya (Brahmbhatt et al., 2012) during 1962–2001. Similarly, the glaciers in the Central Himalaya are maintaining a continuous trend of retreat over the past few decades with an average retreat rate of $5.5\text{--}8.7 \text{ ma}^{-1}$ (Ren et al., 2004, 2006). In the Indian Himalaya, studies on snout recession mass balance carried out on a few glaciers have revealed generally a negative trend (e.g., Kulkarni, 2007; Kumar et al., 2007; Wagnon et al., 2007; Dobhal et al., 2007; Pithan, 2011; Berthier, et al., 2007; Bolch et al., 2011; Mir et al., 2013b). During last decades, the aggregate mass balance of Himalayan glaciers has been reported negative (Ren et al., 2006) with the exceptions of glaciers in higher Karakoram mountain range (Hewitt, 2005). The field measurements of glacier mass-balance have been carried out on few glaciers, such as Dokriani Glacier (1992–1995, 1997–2000 and 2007–present) and Chorabari Glacier (2003–present) in the Garhwal Himalaya (Dobhal, 2009; Dobhal and Mehta, 2010) Chhota Shigri Glacier in Himachal Himalaya and Hamtah glacier in Spiti basin (Wagnon et al., 2007). The overall mass balance of Chhota Shigri glacier is about -0.67 mwe a^{-1} , Dokriani glacier is -0.32 mwe a^{-1} and that of Hamtah glacier is -1.60 mwe a^{-1} (Dobhal and Mehta, 2010). Based on satellite data, Kulkarni et al., (2011) reported recently glacier loss of about 1868 glaciers studied in 11 basins

of the Indian Himalaya. They reported an overall reduction in glacier area of 16% ranging from 2.7-20% among different basins studied during 1962-2002 (Figure 3). The changes in glacier areas are also likely to influence the runoff trends in the 21st century i.e. runoff could increase in the initial decades and then decrease or cease due to substantial decrease in glacier area (Bliss et al., 2014; Lutz et al., 2014; Bolch et al., 2012).

Depending upon the topographic and other local factors of the glaciers, a heterogeneous pattern of glacier loss in different areas and basins of the Himalayan region has been reported; and thereby, understanding the influence of the local factors on glacier changes has received an ample attention during last year's (Basnett et al., 2013; Thakuri et al., 2014). Among the various notable factors, the glacier size, debris cover and topographic variables (altitude, slope, and aspect) are the most important variables in determining the variable rates of glacier changes. A number of earlier studies have found an inverse relationship between the glacier size and rates of loss (e.g., Kulkarni et al., 2007; Mark and Seltzer, 2005; Chueca et al., 2007; Mir et al., 2013a). In other words, the smaller glaciers have experience generally higher rates of loss than large glaciers. A similar pattern has been reported from Garhwal (Bhambri et al., 2011) and Himachal Himalaya (Deota et al., 2011) recently. The tendency of larger glaciers to less loss has also been reported by many other studies (e.g., Racoviteanu et al., 2008a; Salerno et al., 2008; Loiblet et al., 2014). In general, a loss of 38% of small glaciers (<1 km²) and 12% of larger glaciers has been reported In Western Himalaya between 1962–2001 by a study carried out by Kulkarni et al., (2005). Similarly, the higher elevations of glaciers significantly reduce the rates of glacier loss (Kulkarni et al., 2007; Racoviteanu et al., 2008). The glaciers with a smaller altitudinal range along with median altitudes closer (in altitude) to their maximum altitudes are losing more of their area (Ye et al., 2003; Mark and Seltzer, 2005). The glaciers slope is another topographic variable and plays a significant role in determining glacier changes i.e., the steeper the glacier, the larger the loss. The similar influence of slope on glacier has

been observed from the Khumbu area (Salerno et al., 2008; Racoviteanu et al., 2015). The orientation or the aspect of the glaciers significantly controls the glacier changes. The glaciers losing maximum area are generally oriented southwards and southwest (Salerno et al., 2008; Bhambri et al., 2011; Mir et al., 2013a). The similar patterns have been reported from the Alaknanda and Tiringkhad basins (Nainwal et al., 2008b; Mir et al., 2013b). Furthermore, the presence of supra-glacial debris cover has a dominant effect on the glacier loss. The debris cover with thickness exceeding a few centimeters leads to a considerable reduction in glacier loss (Mattson et al., 1993; Scherler et al., 2011). The glaciers which are heavily covered with a thick debris cover respond more slowly to climatic changes than glaciers with thinner debris cover or clean glaciers (Singh et al., 2000; Scherler et al., 2011). In addition, the debris cover is also an important factor for mass balance and glacier dynamics because the debris thickness determines the ice melt rate (Mattson et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 2011). In the Himalayan region, the glacier shrinkage and mass loss has been recognized concurrently with an increase in debris cover (Bolch et al., 2011; Nuimura et al., 2012).

Similarly, there are several regional as well as global studies on long term snow cover changes (e.g., Brown, 2000; Beniston et al., 2003; Latemser and Schneeneli, 2003; Kazuyuki, 2003; Bednorz, 2004). Significant reductions in snow depth have been reported in western Canada (Brown, 1996 & 1998). In another study, Ke et al., (2009) observed trends in snowfall in Qinghai, China. In the northern Hemisphere, the snow cover variation and its relationship to temperature have been studied by Frei and Robinson, (1999). Similarly, Dickson, (1984) and Clark et al., (1999) have studied and reported the climatic effect of Eurasian snow cover variations on the Indian monsoon rainfall. Similarly, the significant recent changes in glacial and snow cover extent are reported from different parts of Himalayan region too (e.g., Niederer et al., 2008; Nie et al., 2010; Kulkarni et al., 2010; Mir et al., 2013a). Mir et al., (2014) has studied snowfall variability in response to temperature in Satluj Basin, Western Himalaya.

53

India. The changes in snow cover and glaciers are mostly attributed to changing pattern of snowfall and temperature (Shekhar et al., 2010; Mir et al., 2013b). Mir et al. (2015b) suggested that the insignificant decline in snowfall has resulted in a significant decline in snow covered area (SCA) studied between the years from 2000-2009 in the Satluj basin. Thus, the variations in snow cover are also considered and cited as useful indicators of climatic fluctuations (Barry, 1985; Chang et al., 1990; Goodison and Walker, 1993; Derksen et al., 2000; Serreze et al., 2000).




Figure 2: Map showing the loss in glaciers (%) within different basin of the Himalaya region from 1960-2000, based on satellite images. The various sub-basins include 1. Bhur, 2. Zansker, 3. Kang Yatiz Massif, 4. Warwan, 5. Miyar, 6. Bhaga, 7. SamudraTapu, 8. Chandra, 9. Parbati, 10. Baspa, 11. Bokriani, 12. Bhagirathi, 13. Alaknanda, 14. Naimona'nyi region, 15. Mt. Everest region, 16. AX010, 17. Sagarmath national park, 18. Tista, 19. Bhutan Himalaya (source: Kulkarni, 2011).

3.2 Climatic fluctuations

An analysis of the temperature data over the Himalayan region has immensely revealed a warming pattern, albeit at diverse rates during different periods depending on the seasons and

(54)

regions. In the tune of the other regions (Reda et al., 2013a, b; Diodato et al., 2011), recently Brohan et al., (2006), using Climate Research Unit's (CRU) reconstructed temperature dataset; reported a higher rate of warming in the Himalayan and Tibetan Plateau regions during last few decades. For example, Brohan et al., (2006), observed a warming of 0.5°C in annual average maximum temperature (T_{\max}) during 1971-2005 as compared to a lower warming during 1901-1960. Similarly, another study by Dash et al., (2007) in the Indian western Himalaya reported a warming and rise of 0.9°C over a study period of 102 years (1901-2003). Dimri and Dash, (2011) also reported a rising pattern in temperature over the western Indian Himalaya. They reported a greatest increase in T_{\max} (1.1 to 2.5°C) using the winter (December to February) monthly temperature data from 1975-2006. In the northwest Indian Himalayan region, Bhutiyani et al., (2007) have observed a 1.6°C rising pattern ($0.16^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{decade}$) during last century. In the northwest Indian Himalayan region over the lower Indus basin, Singh et al., (2008), found increasing trends in T_{\max} and seasonal average of daily T_{\max} for all seasons except monsoon. In addition, the trends of seasonal and monthly mean temperatures between the years 1994-2003 indicated a shift in the peak summer and winter seasons in the Northwestern Himalaya (Koul and Ganjoo, 2010). Mir et al., (2015a, b) reported an increasing trend in temperature, particularly a significant rise in T_{\min} from 1983-2008 in Indian part of Satluj basin located in western Himalaya. Similar, in another study, increasing trends of the winter T_{\max} studied over upper Indus basin has been also reported by Khattak et al., (2011). In general, an increase of 1.0 and 3.4°C in T_{\max} and T_{\min} studied over a period from the years 1988-2008 over the Himalayan region has been reported by (Shekhar, 2010). An analysis of temperature data over the Nepalese Himalaya also witnessed a warming pattern during last century (Shrestha et al., 1999). Similarly, the Everest (Qomolangma) region in China also exhibit warming pattern at an average rate of $0.234^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{decade}$ in T_{avg} between the years 1971-2004 (Yang et al., 2006). Importantly, the rate of warming pattern reported; is observed to be constantly higher during

winter season than other seasons in most parts of the Himalayan region such as the Chinese, northwest Indian, and Nepalese Himalaya (Bhutiya et al., 2010; Shrestha and Devkota, 2010; Mir et al., 2015a). Thus, later quarters of the 21st century and recent decades are recognized to be warmer than earlier periods along with the warming rate higher in winter season than other seasons across the region.

Contrary to warming pattern, the precipitation in the Himalayan region lacks the spatially consistent long-term trends. In a recent study, Recently, Bhutiya et al., (2010) based on analysis of data of three stations, suggested a statistically significant negative trend (at 5% significance level) in monsoon and average annual rainfall assessed from 1866-2006 in the Indian northwest Himalayan region. A similar but insignificant negative pattern is reported for a period from 1960-2006 over the Western Indian Himalaya region (Sontakke et al., 2009). Dimri and Dash, (2011) recognized a significant decline in winter precipitation (December-February) in the Himalayan region during 1975-2006 and the pattern lacked spatially consistent phases among stations. During 1901-2003, Gulhathakurta and Rajeevan, (2008) also reported a significant downward pattern in winter precipitation over the states of Jammu & Kashmir and Uttarakhand. Similarly, an insignificant declining trend has been reported from the years 1970-2008 in snowfall with a weak rising trend in rainfall in the Satluj basin, western Himalaya (Mir et al., 2015a). Mir et al., (2015a, b & c) reported the decline in snowfall in response to rising temperature, particularly T_{min} . They suggested that the insignificant decline in snowfall has resulted in a significant decline in snow covered area (SCA) studied between the years 2000-2009 in the basin. However, during 1961-1999 in the upper Indus Basin (Pakistan), statistically significant increasing trends are observed in winter precipitation (Archer and Fowler, 2004; Fowler and Archer, 2005), but no trend is observed during the longer period considered in the study (1895-1999). Interestingly in the Himalayan region, Dimri and Dash, (2010, 2012) and Shekhar, (2010) have reported that the negative trends in the precipitation are associated with

a reduction in total seasonal snowfall. The increase in temperature is one of the important factors responsible for reduction in snowfall (Thayyen et al., 2005; Dimri et al., 2007). In the Bhutan Himalayan region, previous reports on the precipitation trends have suggested largely random fluctuations and the absence of trend on annual or seasonal basis (Tse-ring, 2008). Similarly, Shrestha et al., (2000) did not find any significant long-term trends in precipitation data (1959-1994) of the Nepalese Himalaya. In general, the precipitation is decreasing in the Western Indian Himalaya with the decline in winter precipitation as well but with intra-regional differences.

The changes in stream flow pattern are generally dependent on the land use and climate changes. While observing the stream flow pattern of river in northwestern Indian Himalayan region during last three decades, Bhutiyani et al., (2007) reported a significant rise in the number of high-magnitude flood events in the area. Mir et al., (2015a) reported a continuous and significant decline in stream flow of Satluj river basin located in western Himalaya between the years 1963-2008. Similarly, Khattak et al., (2011) has reported an increasing trend in the winter and spring stream flow pattern in the upper Indus Basin, Pakistan. However, it is important to note that the Nepalese stream flow trends showed ambiguous spatial patterns studied during 1965-1995 (Gautam and Acharya, 2012). Over the Tibetan Plateau, Yao et al., (2007) found an up rise of 5.5% in river runoff and attributed it to the glacial melting. Similarly, Jasrotia et al., (2013) assessed the impact of climate change on the hydrological regime of Chenab basin, Western Himalaya and reported a declining pattern. In the northwestern Himalaya, based on the trend analysis of discharge data of rivers for the period between the years 1922–2004, Bhutiyani et al., (2008) related the negative discharge trends during winter and monsoon seasons in the post 1990 period with the falling contribution of glaciers to the discharge and their gradual disappearance. In response to warming temperatures, the increased contribution to stream flow of glacial and snowmelt are noted as causes for the upward trend

in stream flow in low flow periods and in areas of low precipitation e.g. Tibetan Plateau and Indus basin (Mukhopadhyay, 2014; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2014). Similarly, over the northwestern Himalayan region, Fowler and Archer, (2006) analyzed temperature data during the period between the years 1961-2000 and connected a decrease of 20% in summer runoff in the rivers Shyok and Hunza to the observed 18°C drop in mean summer temperature since 1961 and growth of Karakoram Glacier.

Time series analysis of observational records (Burger et al., 2018) is a useful tool to establish general data trends but is of limited use when observations are scarce in space and time and cannot provide insights into which processes drive observed changes. Additionally, while satellite-based glacier inventories (Barcaza et al., 2017; Malmros et al., 2016; Falaschi et al., 2013) aided the establishment of baseline areal changes, they do not generally assess mass balance or volumes change and cannot be used to explain the causes of observed changes. Therefore, there is a need for an integrated approach to understand the midterm and long-term changes in glaciers and glacier runoff in the high-elevation catchments of the Himalayan region.

Keeping in view the above concern, our main aims are to estimate the corresponding glacier contribution to runoff and impact assessment of snow/glacier change on runoff in the recent past. These aims are addressed through application of a physically oriented and fully distributed glacio-hydrological model, in situ data, and glacier change estimates for a basin located in Western Himalaya. Therefore, in this study, SPHY model has been simulated in two times: (i) 2003-2010 and (ii) 2011-2018 utilizing variable degree-day factors to highlight the effect of snow and glacier changes on runoff.

(58)

CHAPTER 4

METHODOLOGY

4.1 Snow covered areas and glacier mapping

For snow mapping, MODIS (MOD10A2) 8-daily satellite derived snow cover areas have been utilized for the year 2003-2018. The MOD10A2 snow cover data products contain data fields for maximum snow cover extent over an eight-day compositing period. The MODIS (MOD10A2) consists of 1200 km by 1200 km tiles that employs a Normalized Difference Snow Index (NDSI) and other criteria tests (Shukla et al., 2017) (Figure 4). To highlight the elevation wise similarities in the distribution of snow covers, the fractional snow cover corresponding to multiple elevation zones were computed on the monthly scale for both SPHY derived snow covers and MODIS derived snow covers. Shukla et al. (2017) carried out a study for Satluj basin in which all the maps were generated. The snow cover areas maps for Baspa basin have been extracted from these maps. Therefore, the 8-daily scenes of MODIS snow cover were aggregated at monthly scales to compare with the SPHY derived snow covers.

As per the study of Mir et al. (2017), number of glaciers were mapped in the Baspa basin for years 1966, 1999, 2000 and 2000. In this study, Landsat data series-based satellite scenes have been utilized for the year 2018. For the Landsat 8, ETM+ and TM data images, the glaciers were delimited using band ratios of near infrared and shortwave infrared bands (Singh and Goyal, 2018; Shukla et al., 2010). The debris free glacier (or clean-ice glacier) mask was generated in the binary image utilizing threshold values between 2.2 to 2.5. Several misclassified glacier areas were eliminated by post-processing of the data. Finally, the glacier images were converted from binary to vector data layers. The detail glacier mapping methodology has been employed previously by Mir et al. (2017). To find out the glacier changes corresponding to each elevation zone, the spatial analyst module of ArcGIS was

utilized. To find out the correlation between glacier changes and corresponding elevation, the variation in glaciers was highlighted with respect to elevation in the progressive manner from 2000 to 2018. The changes in glaciers with respect to elevation have been analyzed to highlight the elevation dependent warming and its impact on glacier mass changes.




Figure 3: Showing the computation methodology of snow cover and glacier maps.

4.2. Snowmelt and glacier melt hydrology of SPHY Model

SPHY is a spatially distributed and can be applied on a grid-by-grid basis (Terink et al., 2015). SPHY works in a python-based environment using PC Raster and its source codes are freely available (<http://www.sphy.nl/>). In SPHY, each grid value is represented average over the grid. In SPHY model, for glaciers, a sub-grid variability exists, and a grid can be defined as glacier free, completely covered by glacier or partially covered by glacier. The glacier free grid/fraction of grid can be defined as snow covered or snow free. The grid/area which is free from snow can be defined by vegetation, open water, and bare soil (Terink et al., 2015). The

60

soil column structure of SPHY model is quite similar to VIC model (Terink et al., 2015), including two upper soil and third groundwater storages. The three drainage components: surface runoff, lateral flow and baseflow can be defined in SPHY model. SPHY computes i.e. each grid precipitation in the form of snow or rain, depending on the defined critical temperature value.

Precipitation that falls on the ground can be intercepted by vegetation and some amount or whole can be evaporated. In SPYHY, the snow storage is updated with snow accumulation and/or snowmelt. Surface runoff is a part of liquid precipitation, whereas the rest infiltrates into the soil. In SPHY, the resulting soil moisture is subject to evapotranspiration (ET), which is depended on the soil properties and fractional vegetation cover, whereas the rest contributes to streamflow (or discharge) by means of the baseflow resulting from the groundwater zone and lateral flow from the first soil layer. In SPHY, the modified Hargreaves equation that is a function of temperature only has been used for the ET computation (Terink et al., 2015). As per the earlier studies, it was observed that Hargreaves method performed well over Himalaya, while in the absence of large amount of the datasets (Singh and Goyal, 2017; Arnold et al., 2012). The grid-specific runoff that is available for routing, can be contributed by surface runoff, lateral flow, baseflow, snowmelt and glacier melt.

SPHY model requires physical/thematic data inputs such as digital elevation model (DEM), landuse/landcover (LULC) map, glacier map highlighting clean ice glaciers and debris glaciers, soil parameters such as root depth, maximum capillary rise, seepage, groundwater parameters such as baseflow, groundwater depth, aquifer yield. The meteorological datasets such as temperature, precipitation, radiation is minimally required to setup the model. The snow-covered area (SCA) can be utilized for the validation of SPHY generated snow cover. The SPHY model provides all watershed components such as total

runoff, snowmelt runoff, baseflow, ET, groundwater runoff, groundwater level, glacier melt runoff, and rainfall-runoff in daily, monthly, and annual basis (Terink et al., 2015).

This study mainly explores the snow and glacier melt runoff characteristics of the selected Baspa River Himalayan basin and therefore, the focus of this study has been given to the processes and parameters relevant to the snow and glacier hydrology. The equations of the other components and processes are previously discussed in detailed Terink et al. (2009). The snow-glacier melt runoff hydrology has been described below in further sections.

4.2.1 Snowfall and rainfall

In SPHY, the dynamic snowfall mass balancing can be performed at a daily time step. Using a temperature threshold, the falling precipitation can be defined as a snowfall (solid form) and rain (liquid form). The snow accumulation (or snowpack or snowfall) can be calculated as (eq. 1):

$$P_{s,t} = \begin{cases} P_{et} & \text{if } T_{avg,t} \leq T_{crit} \\ 0 & \text{if } T_{avg,t} > T_{crit} \end{cases} \dots(1)$$

Where, $P_{s,t}$ (mm) is the snowfall on the day t , P_{er} (mm) can be defined as the effective precipitation on day t , $T_{avg,t}$ ($^{\circ}$ C) is the mean air temperature on day t , and T_{crit} ($^{\circ}$ C) is a calibrated temperature threshold for precipitation to fall as snow. Whereas, the precipitation falls as liquid precipitation can be computed as (eq. 2):

$$P_{l,t}(\text{liquid precipitation}) = \begin{cases} P_{et} & \text{if } T_{avg,t} > T_{crit} \\ 0 & \text{if } T_{avg,t} \leq T_{crit} \end{cases} \dots(2)$$

4.2.2 Snowmelt storage and runoff

SPHY model uses a degree-day approach using temperature index model for the calculation of snowmelt (Terink et al., 2015). The application of degree-day models is

widespread in cryospheric models that is based on an empirical relationship between melt rate and air temperature (Terink et al., 2015). Based on the degree-day approach, the potential snowmelt can be calculated as (eq. 3):

$$A_{pot,t} = \begin{cases} T_{avg,t} * DDF_s & \text{if } T_{avg,t} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } T_{avg,t} \leq 0 \end{cases} \dots (3)$$

with $A_{pot,t}$ (mm) is defined as the potential snowmelt on day t , and DDF_s ($\text{mm}^\circ\text{C}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$) is denoted as a calibrated degree-day factor for snow. The actual snowmelt can be limited by the snow storage at the end of the previous day, and is computed as (eq. 4):

$$A_{act,t} = \min(A_{pot,t}, SS_{t-1}) \dots (4)$$

Here, $A_{act,t}$ (mm) is defined as the actual snowmelt on day t , and SS_{t-1} (mm) is denoted as the snow storage on day $t-1$. After that the snow storage from day $t-1$ is updated to the present day t , utilizing the actual snowmelt ($A_{act,t}$) and the solid precipitation ($P_{s,t}$). When temperature falls below the melting point, then the meltwater (that is frozen) in the snowpack during time $t-1$ will be added to the snow storage as (eq. 5):

$$SS_t = \begin{cases} SS_{t-1} + P_{s,t} + SSW_{t-1} & \text{if } T_{avg,t} < 0 \\ SS_{t-1} + P_{s,t} - A_{act,t} & \text{if } T_{avg,t} \geq 0 \end{cases} \dots (5)$$

Here, SS_t is defined as the snow storage on day t . SS_{t-1} is described as the snow storage on day $t-1$. $P_{s,t}$ is the solid precipitation on day t . $A_{act,t}$ is the actual snowmelt on day t , and SSW_{t-1} is the amount of frozen meltwater on day $t-1$. The units for all terms are mm. The maximum of meltwater that can freeze (SSW_{max} (mm)) is thus limited by the thickness of the snow storage (Terink et al., 2015). The total snow storage (SST (mm)) consists of the snow storage and the freeze meltwater can be calculated as (eq. 6):

$$SST_t = (SS_t + SSW_t) \times (1 - GlacF) \dots (6)$$

Where, $(1 - GlacF)$ is defined as the fractional grid that is not covered by glaciers. In SPHY model, snow melt and snow accumulation can only be calculated when grid fraction determined as the land surface. In SPHY, the runoff from snow (SRo (mm)) can be calculated when the air temperature reaches above the melting point (Terink et al., 2015). The change in meltwater stored in the snow can be accounted as (eq. 7):

$$\Delta SSW = SSW_t - SSW_{t-1} \dots (7)$$

4.2.3 Glacier process and runoff

In SPHY, glaciers are considered melting surfaces which can partly or completely cover the grid cell. Glacier melt is also calculated by the degree-day approach and the melt rates of debris covered and debris free glaciers vary (Terink et al., 2015). The melt from the debris free glaciers can be computed as (eq. 8):

$$A_{CI,t} = \begin{cases} T_{avg,t} \times DDF_{CI} \times F_{CI} & \text{if } T_{avg,t} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } T_{avg,t} \leq 0 \end{cases} \dots (8)$$

Where, DDF_{CI} ($\text{mm } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) is a degree-day factor for debris free glaciers and can be calibrated for the given area/grid. F_{CI} (-) is the fraction of debris-free glaciers within a grid. The daily melt from the debris-covered glaciers can be calculated as (eq. 9):

$$A_{DC,t} = \begin{cases} T_{avg,t} \times DDF_{DC} \times F_{DC} & \text{if } T_{avg,t} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } T_{avg,t} \leq 0 \end{cases} \dots (9)$$

Where, DDF_{DC} ($\text{mm } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) is a degree-day factor for debris-covered glaciers and can be calibrated for the given area/grid. F_{DC} (-) is the fraction of debris-covered glaciers within a grid. The total glacier melt, $A_{GLAC,t}$ (i.e. summing the melt water from debris-free and debris-covered glaciers) can be accounted as (eq. 10):

$$A_{GLAC,t} = (A_{CI,t} + A_{DC,t}) \times GlacF \dots (10)$$

From the total melt from glaciers, a fraction of the glacier melt percolates to the groundwater and the remaining fractions run off. Finally, the generated runoff GR_o (mm) from glacier melt is defined as (eq. 11):

$$GR_{ot} = A_{GLAC,t} \times (1 - GlacROF), \dots (eq. 11)$$

4.2.4 Surface runoff, total runoff, and routing

In SPHY, soil water processes can be determined by the three soil layers such as root zone, sub zone and groundwater layer. The equations related to soil processes are previously described by Terink et al. (2009) and therefore not discussed in detail. SPHY is a water-balance model and therefore the SPHY only accounts for stresses regarding water shortage and water excess. SPHY uses an ET reduction parameter ($ET_{red,wet}$) that has 0 value in soil saturated condition and otherwise 1 (Terink et al., 2015). SPHY uses the saturation excess overland flow process (i.e. Hewlettian runoff) to compute the surface runoff (RO) (Terink et al., 2015) as (eq. 12):

$$RO = \begin{cases} SW_1 - SW_{L,sat} & \text{if } SW_1 > SW_{L,sat} \\ 0 & \text{if } SW_1 \leq SW_{L,sat} \end{cases} \dots (12)$$

Here, SW_1 (mm) is the water content in the first soil layer and $SW_{L,sat}$ (mm) is defined as the saturated water content of the first soil layer. In SPHY, the exceeded water from the field capacity has been used for lateral flow (Terink et al., 2015). SPHY assumes the lateral flow travel time to be dependent on the field capacity, saturated conductivity, and saturated content (Terink et al., 2015).




Figure 4: Schematic and methods of SPHY modeling framework.

In SPHY, water can percolate from the first soil layer to the second soil layer, and from the second to the third soil layer. In SPHY, water only percolates when the water content will exceed the field capacity of that layer (Terink et al., 2016). SPHY utilizes exponential decay weighting function (Terink et al., 2015) in the precipitation groundwater response model similar to SWAT model (Arnold et al., 2012). For baseflow computation, SPHY uses steady-state response of groundwater flow and water table fluctuations which can be resulted by the non-steady response of the groundwater flow (Arnold et al., 2012). In this model, baseflow can only be occurred when the amount of water stored in the third soil layer exceeds a certain threshold. For runoff routing, SPHY computes for each grid the accumulated amount of water that flows out of the grid into its neighbouring downstream grid through the accuflux PCRaster

built-in function, which computes runoff at each grid from its upstream grids and also adding the runoff amount that is generated within the grid itself (Terink et al., 2015) (Figure 5).

4.3. Model Calibration

In SPHY, both manual and auto-calibration can be performed. In this study, mostly real time, observed and measured datasets and other parameters/coefficients have been used. To get the practical parametric response, the manual calibration based on trial-and-error basis was preferred. Sometimes, it has been seen that the auto calibration does not give the reliable estimates of modeling parameters when large numbers of parameters are involved (Terink et al., 2015). During modeling, the best fitted value of the parameter has been calculated as per trial-and-error method. The observed daily discharge is available from 2003 to 2018 and thus utilized for the present study. For manual calibration, parameters such as temperature threshold to fall as snow (T_{crit}), degree-day factor for snow (DDFs), glacier fraction of grid cell (GlacF), degree-day factor for debris-free glaciers (DDF_{cf}), degree-day factor for debris-covered glaciers (DDF_{dc}), and threshold for baseflow to occur (BF_{thres}) have been taken into consideration.

MODIS based snow cover area have been extracted to compare the SPHY derived snow cover on the monthly scale for the year 2010 and 2018 so that snow parameters required to run the model, which can be accurately defined in the model and modeling accuracy can be improved. In this study, the real time digitized glacier maps, characterizing by debris-free glaciers and debris-covered glaciers, were used to define the glacier properties over the basin. Other parameters (e.g. related to soil and LULC) values are set based on the literature survey (Terink et al., 2015) and standard global values suggested by SPHY model (Terink et al., 2015), which have been developed specifically for the Himalayan conditions.

67

Utilizing large number of datasets and parameters as an input to the SPHY model, the model has been setup for the two-time durations (i.e. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018) to understand the snow melt and glacier melt runoff variations. The SPHY model was simulated at the daily time step at 250 m spatial resolution scale and SPHY re-gridded all data inputs at the same scale (Terink et al., 2015). However, the modeling variables can be computed on a daily, monthly, and yearly scales, as per the user's choice. The modeling outcomes have been evaluated at the outlet and site scale.

Table 3: SPHY model parameters used for the simulation during both time series i.e. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018.

SI. No.	Name of the Parameter	Description (Unit)	Parameter Ranges (min-max)	Fitted Value
1	RootDepthFlat	Rootzone depth (mm)	300-1000	500
2	SubDepthFlat	Thickness of subsoil (mm)	1000-2000	1500
3	CapRiseMax	Maximum capillary rise from subsoil to rootzone (mm/day)	2 to 10	5
4	GwDepth	Thickness of groundwater layer (mm)	1000-4000	3000
5	GwSat	Saturated water content in groundwater zone (mm)	500-3000	2000
6	alphaGw	Baseflow groundwater range	0.1-1.0	0.05
7	DDFG	Glacier clean ice degree-day factor (mm degree-1 day-1)	2.0-8.0	5.5
8	DDFDG	Glacier debris degree-day factor (mm degree-1 day-1)	2.0-8.0	5.0
9	Tcrit	Critical temperature for precipitation to fall as snow (degrees Celsius)	-0.5-5.0	3.0
10	DDFS	Snow degree-day factor (mm degree-1 day-1)	2.0-8.0	6.0
11	kx	Recession coefficient of routing (-)	0.5-1.0	0.95

Note: The parameters ranges (min-max) used in the above Table 3 have been selected based on the standard values as suggested by SPHY and literature survey.

4.4 Snowmelt glacier melt and glacier area changes

For analyzing the changes in snowmelt and glacier melt runoff, the whole time series (2003-2018) was divided in two-time series (TS) sets: (i) TS1 2003-2010 and (ii) TS2 2011-2018. The main purpose of the dividing time series sets in two durations was to analyze the effect varying climate conditions (such as temperature and precipitation variations) along with observed glacier mass changes on the resultant glacier melt runoff. As per the comparison of both period glacier maps (i.e. 2000 and 2011), a significant mass reduction was observed. Therefore, while setup the SPHY for the TS1 (i.e. 2003-2010), the glacier map prepared for the year 2000 was utilized; whereas, during TS2 setup in SPHY, the glacier map prepared for the year 2011 was utilized. The changes in glacier mass are compared to the corresponding elevations to find out the elevation dependent warming and their impacts on glacier and glacier melt runoff changes. A very few studies highlighted that temperature and precipitation is highly varying over Himalaya and showed the elevation dependent warming, especially in last 20 years they recorded the maximum variations (Shukla and Goyal, 2020; Rem et al., 2017; Singh and Goyal, 2016).

The main water balance components such as simulated runoff (Q, mm), rain Q (mm), snow Q (mm) and glacier Q (mm) have been computed at the outlet (i.e. Sangla gauge). Small watersheds considering 30 sites (shown in Figure 1) on the main stream and tributaries were created. Out of 30 sites, 17 independent sites located on the tributaries were chosen dominated by snow and glaciers, which have been used to explore the snow melt and glacier melt runoff variation. Glaciers are situated at the extreme high elevation zones, whereas non-glaciated areas covered by snow situated at the moderate elevation zones. These snow melt and glacier melt changes have been analyzed on the monthly, seasonal, and annual basis during both durations (e.g. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018). In addition to glacier maps of 2000 and 2011 used for the period of TS1 and TS2, 2018 glacier map has also been used for TS2.

CHAPTER 5

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Snow and glacier mapping

On the basis of glacier maps, a significant reduction has been computed in the glacier areas from 2000 to 2018 (Fig. 5). A significant variation in snow covered areas (SCAs) observed by previous studies (Shukla et al., 2017; Mir et al., 2017), have identified in different portions of the basin. Therefore, to highlight the effect of these snow and glacier areas variations, different glacier maps (e.g. 2000, 2006, 2011 and 2018) were used so the effect of glacier areas reduction can be easily incorporated in the modeling outcomes.





Figure 5: Temporal variations in glacier maps of Baspa basin highlighting reduction in the glacier area from 2000 to 2018: (a) 2000, (b) 2006, (c) 2011, (d) 2018 and (e) overlay of all year maps.

The accuracy of SPHY derived snow covers has been tested with reference to the MODIS derived snow covers for the year 2010 and 2018 (Figs. 6, 7 and 8). The visual comparison of SPHY and MODIS derived snow-covered areas (SCA) can be seen in Fig. 6. In Fig. 6, based on the monthly plots one can see that most of months have shown similar pattern of SCA when compared to SPHY and MODIS SCAs for both time series durations. However, during May and June months, some inconsistency in SCA can be seen when compared both datasets (Fig. 6).







Figure 6: Highlighting similarities and variations in the monthly snow-covered areas (SCA) between MODIS derived snow covers and SPHY derived snow covers: (a) SCAs for 2010 and (b) SCAs for the year 2018.

The regression plots between SPHY and MODIS derived SCAs (%) have been drawn and the corresponding R^2 values have shown in Fig. 7. As per the regression plots (Fig. 7), around 78% correlation existed during 2010 and 92% correlation existed during 2018, which is found quite satisfactory, especially over the Himalayan basin. In Figs. 7a and 7b, except June

(72)

to August months, where SPHY derived SCAs show slightly overestimated, otherwise most of months showed a good match between SPHY and MODIS derived SCAs. Several articles already discussed about the inconsistency existed in the MODIS (MOD10A2) snow cover data products (Zhao et al., 2019; Weidinger et al., 2018) due to the presence of clouds. The fractional snow covers based on the 10 elevation zones have been derived for the year 2010 to compare the SPHY derived fractional snow-covered area (%) with the MODIS derived snow-covered areas (Fig. 8).



Figures 7: (a) time series plots between MODIS derived monthly SCAs during 2010, (b) time series plots between MODIS derived monthly SCAs during 2018, (c) regression plots showing comparison between observed snow and modelled snow-covered areas (%) during 2010, and (d) regression plots showing comparison between observed snow and modelled snow-covered areas (%) during 2018.

73




Figure 8: Comparison of SCAs (%) between SPHY and MODIS corresponding to each elevation zone (EZ) during 2010.

Snow cover variations with respect to elevation zones have also been compared. Elevation zones (10 nos.) have computed from DEM (Fig. 1), which highlight the variations in



the snow-covered areas (%) with respect to 10 elevation zones (EZ) through box plots. As per Fig. 1, EZ1 to EZ3 corresponded to lower elevations (1800-3000 m), EZ4 to EZ6 (3001-4500 m) corresponded with moderate elevation areas and EZ7 to EZ10 (>4500 m) corresponded to extreme high elevation areas.

Fig. 8 (a-j) illustrates the monthly comparison of SCA (%) distribution between SPHY and MODIS based on the year 2010. In Fig. 9, it can be observed that as per the increasing EZs, the distribution of SCA increases. In lower EZs such as EZ1 to EZ4, only small amount of SCA can be observed only for January, February and December months, whereas moderate to extreme high EZs > EZ4 most of months show a significant amount of distribution of SCA, except ablation period (i.e. May to August) (Fig. 8). When compared the EZ wise distribution of SCA between MODIS and SPHY, a good correlation has been observed (around 80%), except few EZs where 5% to 10% differences in SCAs (%) has been recorded. Overall, both datasets have shown a good agreement between SPHY and MODIS derived SCAs. Based on the above observations, this elevation zone wise comparison of SPHY derived snow covers with MODIS data showed a good match with the real time MODIS derived snow cover datasets and observed discharge data. The derivation of fractional snow covers also helped to optimize the fractional snow parameter in SPHY, which is an important parameter in accounting snow melt runoff volume.

5.2 Assessment of water balance components

The model parameters have manually adjusted and modeling fitted parameter values detail have been given in the Table 3. The calibration strength of the modeling framework has been tested using coefficient of determination (R^2) (Singh and Goyal, 2017). The scatter plots between observed Q and simulated Q, after parameters adjustment, at Sangla gauge are shown in Figs. 9b and 9d. The time series plots (Fig. 9a and 9c) show that simulated discharge at the

75

outlet of the catchment significantly captured high and low flow values as per the observed values. The R^2 values are computed as 0.62 and 0.86 for TS1 (i.e. 2003-2010) and TS2 (i.e. 2011-2018), respectively. The computed R^2 values on a daily scale are found satisfactory as compared to the previous studies (Singh and Goyal, 2017; Jain et al., 2010). The modeling accuracy of snow-glacier areas will majorly depend on how snow and glacier parameters are accurately input to the model (Abbaspour et al., 2015).




Figure 10: Time series and regression plots showing the comparison between simulated streamflow (including snowmelt and glacier melt) against observed streamflow at Sangla gauge during: (a, b) 2003-2010 and (c, d) 2011-2018.

The water balance components such as simulated runoff (Sim Q, cumec), rain runoff (Rain Q, cumec), snow melt runoff (Snow Q, cumec), glacier melt runoff (Glacier Q, cumec) and baseflow (Base Q) have been computed at the outlet i.e. Sangla gauge (Fig. 10), which shows an average annual distribution of each component during the years 2003 to 2018 in two-time series sets (i.e. TS1: 2003-2010 and TS2: 2011-2018 (total 16 years)). In Figs. (10a-10b).

it is clearly seen that snowmelt contribution (varies from around 50%-52%) is more than glacier melt (varies from around 12%-14%) against the total flow. The contribution of runoff from baseflow is also found significant during May to September months (~15%).




Figure 10: Average annual scenarios of main water balance components such as simulated runoff (Sim Q), Glacier melt runoff (Glacier Q), Snowmelt runoff (Snow Q), rainfall induced runoff (Rain Q) and runoff from the baseflow (Base Q) simulated by SPHY during (a) 2003-2010 and (b) 2011-2018.

77




Figure 11: Annual variations in Sim Q, Rain Q, Snow Q, Glacier Q and Base Q computed in during 2003-2018

5.3 Changes in snow melt, glacier melt and rainfall runoff

The contributions of different runoff components (e.g. snow Q, glacier Q, rain Q and base Q) from upstream to downstream have been analyzed. For this purpose, six watersheds viz. Sub 1, Sub 2, Sub 3, Sub 7, Sub 17 and Sub 30 have been selected. In Figure 9, the distribution and amount of runoff components viz. snow Q, glacier Q, rain Q and base Q vary from upstream watersheds to downstream watersheds. Here, the watersheds dominated by snow and glaciers such as Sub1, Sub2 and Sub 3 corresponded to 77% Q from snow-glaciers (i.e. 52% from snow and 25% from glaciers), 62% Q from snow-glaciers (i.e. 21% from snow and 41% from glaciers), and 72% Q from snow-glaciers (i.e. 42% from snow and 30% from glaciers), respectively. Though, the downstream watersheds such as Sub 30 (22% Q from Rain

and 10% from glaciers) and Sub 17 (6% from rain and 16% from glaciers), the melt water contributions from glaciers reduce and the Q from rain is accounted.




Figure 12: Shows the distribution and amount of runoff components viz. snow Q, glacier Q, rain Q and base Q vary from upstream watersheds to downstream watersheds.

79




Figure 13: Shows decadal changes in different runoff components at Sangla.

Table 4: Faction (%) of different runoff components at Sangla in Baspa basin.

Runoff Components	Baspa Basin
Annual Average Glacier Melt Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	9.78%
Annual Average Snowmelt Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	56.24%
Annual Average Rainfall Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	22.29%
Annual Average Base Flow at Outlet (m ³ /s)	11.69%

In the above Figure (12) and Table (4), various runoff components contribute to the hydrological regime, reflecting the region's diverse climatic and geographical features. Glacier melt accounts for approximately 9.78% of the total annual flow at the basin outlet, signifying a modest yet critical contribution, particularly during the warmer months. Snowmelt flow, at 56.24%, is the dominant component, highlighting the significant influence of snowpack and seasonal melt in driving runoff, which supports streamflow during the spring and early summer.

Rainfall contributes 22.29% to the annual flow, a substantial portion, especially during the monsoon season, which boosts water availability in the basin. Baseflow, representing groundwater contributions, makes up 11.69% of the total flow, providing a steady release of water that sustains river discharge during dry periods. Together, these components reflect the complex interplay of glacial, snow, and rainfall-driven processes that characterize the hydrology of the Baspa Basin, each playing a vital role in its water dynamics.

Fig. 11 shows distribution of the five main water balance components such as Sim Q (cumec), Snow Q (cumec), Glacier Q (cumec), Rain Q (cumec) and Base Q (cumec) on an annual basis, which highlight the timing of each component throughout the year. As per the annual plots, the potential snow melting starts from April and it continues till October (Fig. 11), however the amount of Snow Q varies from year to year. The maximum Snow Q was computed between late May to July (Fig. 11). The annual total maximum one-day flow recorded around 200 cumec, especially during monsoon season. Whereas, other components such as Snow Q (cumec), Glacier Q (cumec), Rain Q (cumec) and Base Q (cumec) vary one-day maximum up to 120 cumec, 40 cumec, 70 cumec, 20 cumec, respectively. To find out changes in the four main water balance components (e.g. Sim Q, Rain Q, Snow Q, and Glacier Q), the averaged of the water balance components of both TS has been computed and then compared through the box plots (Fig. 14). As per the Sim Q scenarios, a slight increase has been observed from 2003-2010 to 2011-2018, however in case of TS2 (i.e. 2011-2018), the extreme cases were increased and can be seen in box plots (Fig. 14).




Figure 14: Variations in Sim Q (a), Glacier Q (b), Snow Q (c) and Rain Q (d) computed during two times i.e. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018.

In case of Glacier Q, both time series have shown almost same median (~12 cumecs), but in case of TS2 a slight decrease is recorded in upper quantiles (Fig.14). As per Snow Q observation (Fig. 14), TS1 have shown higher values in upper quantile ranges than TS2, but median is recorded almost similar for both TS durations. In case of Rain Q (Fig. 14), except upper quantiles, no significant variability was detected. Based on the above observation, no significant conclusion can be made, however, an enormous variability in upper quantile among all the water balance components can be observed.

82




Figure 16: Monthly variations in Sim Q, Snow Q, Glacier Q and Rain Q during the time series sets 2003-2010 (a, c, e, g) and 2011-2018 (b, d, f, h).

Fig. 15 displays the year wise monthly averaged variation in Sim Q, Snow Q, Glacier Q and Rain Q grouped into two TS durations (i.e. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018) computed at the outlet of the basin (i.e. Sangla gauge). These plots highlight a year-to-year variability in monthly averaged components. In case of Sim Q (Figs. 15a to 15b), the highest variability has been recorded from June to September months (mainly in the monsoon months). The variability

in the Q during monsoon (i.e. from June to September) months revealed the existed variability in precipitation and temperature observed in recent past year (Rao et al., 2018; Singh and Goyal, 2017). Similar observations can be notified for Snow Q during Mar to May. For example, as per Figs. (15e to 15f), during 2011-2014 the maximum Snow Q (120 cumec) was recorded for the year 2014, while other years recorded maximum Snow Q between 60 cumec to 100 cumec. In case of Glacier Q (Figs. 15c and 15d), no significant variability has been recorded, however, the month wise change can be observed in the glacier amount. In case of Rain Q (Fig. 15g to 15h), the maximum variability (e.g. extreme high and low peaks) during monsoon months can be clearly observed.

As discussed above, it was observed that there are changes in water balance components because of changes in precipitation and temperatures. As per the studies carried out earlier in Himalaya region average temperature has been increased over the Himalaya ($\sim 1^{\circ}\text{C}$) (Dinri et al., 2018; Sanjay et al., 2017) and the precipitation significantly varied over western Himalaya, especially in recent past years (Sharma and Goyal, 2020; Shafiq et al., 2016; Singh and Goyal, 2016). Therefore, a detail analysis of change has been performed by doing the monthly assessment of the main four water balance components (i.e. Sim Q, Snow Q, Rain Q and Glacier Q). To find out the changes during both the TS durations (i.e. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018) among all four water balance components, the monthly scenarios (Figs. 16a and 16b) have been generated and a comparative assessment has been done between TS1 (i.e. 2003-2010) and TS2 (2011-2018). As per Sim Q (Fig. 16a), it is found highly variable throughout the year. As per December to March, July and July trends (Fig. 16a), Sim Q is increased during both TS. During April, May, August, September and October (Fig. 16b), Sim Q is slightly decreased. In October and September, Sim Q scenarios from 2003-2010 showed an increase, while 2011-2018



Table 5: Shows the changes in different runoff components at each watershed under different scenarios.

Stations	2003-2010 - run with Glacier map of 2000					2011-2018 run with Glacier map of 2018				
	Sim flow	Glacier melt	Snow melt	Rain flow	Base flow	Sim flow	Glacier melt	Snow melt	Rain flow	Base flow
1	1002.0	297.0	427.1	4.0	273.9	1097.3	269.6	573.1	3.0	251.6
2	1286.3	506.5	303.7	6.5	469.6	1517.9	618.5	315.7	5.9	577.8
3	579.0	190.2	213.1	2.0	173.6	696.5	207.3	294.9	1.1	193.2
5	902.3	267.3	383.0	6.0	246.0	976.0	222.3	540.7	5.4	207.5
8	666.1	142.8	390.3	1.9	131.1	644.9	31.1	582.0	2.7	29.1
9	775.7	114.8	546.0	9.9	105.0	759.4	34.6	684.5	8.0	32.3
11	543.5	151.3	249.8	4.0	138.4	545.2	84.0	379.6	3.5	78.0
12	845.3	224.0	407.4	6.5	207.4	794.1	55.1	682.5	5.3	51.1
14	366.6	172.2	38.5	0.2	155.7	377.0	167.3	54.3	0.1	155.2
16	872.8	243.1	373.5	28.6	227.6	955.9	244.4	439.4	38.8	233.3
18	1272.6	435.7	429.1	4.0	403.8	1327.3	316.1	712.8	3.1	295.3
20	1643.4	324.2	814.9	197.7	306.6	1594.4	144.1	1067.6	242.0	140.6
21	2212.3	605.5	972.7	59.5	574.5	1880.1	283.1	1222.6	91.1	283.3
23	2127.4	703.4	748.3	18.8	657.0	1718.1	155.8	1383.2	33.4	145.7
24	2664.8	932.0	820.9	38.7	873.2	1826.2	185.2	1389.1	78.6	173.2
25	2290.2	591.0	912.8	222.3	564.0	1751.6	92.6	1255.7	304.8	98.5
26	3048.4	1199.7	675.7	42.7	1130.3	2557.1	820.7	844.4	91.8	300.3

In case of TS2, January to March, an increase has been observed. Similarly, during October to March as per TS1, Rain Q has been decreased, while in TS2, February and March showed an increase in Rain Q. But as per TS2, during January, February, March, August and September, it has been increased (Fig. 16a). Overall, majority of plots showed that Rain Q has been slightly increase during 2003 to 2018. As per Glacier Q plots (Fig16b), during October to

December, a slight decrease in Glacier Q has been reported during 2011-2018, while an increase is reported as per 2003-2010. However, from January to September, most of the plots showed an increase in Glacier Q in both TS, except April (in case of both TS) (Fig. 16b) and September (in case of TS2 only), where Glacier Q was slightly decreased (Fig. 16). Snow Q is found highly variable in both TS (i.e. 2003-2010 and 2011-2018) from October to September. Snow Q trends showed that it is decreased during October, November, March and April (in case of both TS durations). Whereas, it is significantly increased in December, January, February, May, June and July, mostly in case of TS2 (i.e. 2011-2018) (Figs. 16b). During April to September (Fig. 16d), it is decreased in most of months, especially in case of TS1 (i.e. 2003-2011). Overall, plots clarified that with respect to TS1 (i.e. 2003-2010), the contribution of Snow Q in total runoff has been increased during 2011 to 2018.

Based on the above observation, the three most conclusive observations can be made: (i) Rain Q is found highly variable during 2003-2018, because the most of months during 2003-2010 have shown a decrease in Rain Q and during TS2 (i.e. 2011-2018) with respect to TS1, it is increased during January, February, March, August and September months. (ii) overall the Snow Q was increased while comparing TS1 vs TS2 (Table 5). However, because of an increasing temperature over the Himalaya, as reported in previous studies (Shafiq et al., 2016; Singh and Goyal, 2016), the ratio of precipitation to snow and rain is changing. Therefore, due to less amount of precipitation (in form of snowfall), the melt contribution from Snow has been decreased in some months (mostly in non-glaciated areas) and therefore Rain Q was increased during January, February and March. Due to the increasing temperature and reduction in the glacier mass over Himalaya, the contribution from Glacier Q was decreased during TS2 as compared to the TS1 (Table 5). Table 5 showed the observations from 17 stations, which are found glacier dominated.




Figure 16: Month wise variations and trends in Sim Q, Rain Q, Glacier Q and Snow Q: (a) variations in Sim Q and Rain Q, (b) variations in Glacier Q and Snow Q.

5.4 Effect of glacier area reduction in glacier melt runoff

For effective monitoring the changes in snow and glacier melt runoff, around 30 watersheds were drawn in the basin taking, out of these 17 sites dominated with glaciers and snow-covered areas as shown in Fig. 1 and then the annual time series trends were estimated during 2003-2018 (Fig. 11). In this analysis, one more glacier map of 2018 was used in addition to 2011 for the duration TS2.

(87)

The glacier melt runoff contribution at the outlet during 2003-2010 computed as ~15% (as per glacier map of 2000), it computed ~13% during 2011-2018 (as per glacier map of 2011) and it recorded around ~10% during 2011-2018 (as per glacier map of 2018), due to reduction in glacier mass. Similarly, the snow melt runoff contribution was found to be 50.52 and 56% and rainfall runoff 19.21 and 22% and base flow contribution 16.14 and 12% corresponding to the maps of 2000, 2011 and 2018. This base flow is delayed runoff which comes through recharge from rain, snow and glacier melt. If it is distributed in same proportion then runoff comes out to be 18.15.12% due to glacier melt, 58.61.64% due to snowmelt for the years 2000, 2011 and 2018 and rainfall runoff 24% for all the years.

All the watersheds are having different snow/glacier therefore the total contribution of melt water from snow covered areas and glacier areas varies at each site level. The contribution from each watershed is shown in Fig. 17 and given in Table 5. The glacier melt runoff varies from around 200 mm (corresponded to Site 9) to 1500 mm (corresponded to Site 26) across all stations. Similar plots have been made for snow melt runoff (i.e. Snow Q), which varies from around 40 mm (corresponded to Sites 8 and 9) to 350 mm (corresponded to Site 26). In Fig. 17, among 17 sites (mostly dominated with glaciers), most of sites showed an increase in Snow Q during 2003 to 2018.

From Table 3, it can be seen that glacier melt runoff has increased in case of watersheds 2 and 3 while decreased for other watersheds. The reason behind this that the watersheds 2 and 3 are heavily glaciated and also not much change in glacier cover have been found in these watersheds. The watershed such as 8, 9 and 12 located on northern side in high altitude areas have lost much glaciers as compared to watersheds located on Southern side. Therefore, more reduction in runoff in watersheds of northern side was observed. The watersheds 21,23,24,25 and 26 located on lower altitudes have lost much glacier area and therefore contribution in these watersheds have been found to be much less in the year 2018. However, contribution of

88

change of SCA and rainfall also have been studied. The snow melt runoff from all the watersheds have increased.




Figure 17: Annual variations and trends in Snow Q and Glacier Q across 17 stations out of 30 stations dominated with snow and glaciers during 2003-2018.

Fig. 18 shows the month wise comparison of glacier melt runoff (Glacier Q) changes and snow melt runoff (Snow Q) between TS1 (i.e. 2003-2010) and TS2 (i.e. 2011-2018) for 17 sites dominated by snow-covered areas and glaciers. The computation of monthly distribution

of amount of Snow Q and Glacier Q at Site level has been found helpful to understand the behavior of total Q, while analysing the variability of Glacier Q and Snow Q in total runoff. In Baspa basin, the Glacier melting mostly starts from May and the majority of contribution from Glacier Q is recorded till November (>10 mm). Similarly, in case of Snow Q, the major contribution is recorded from late April to October (>10 mm). Therefore, the box plots have shown the monthly scenarios of Glacier Q and Snow Q from May to October months only. The Box plots highlighted the statistical behaviour of different grouped periods. As per Figs. 18, in case of Glacier Q, it can be seen that maximum amount is recorded during July to September. When compared to TS1 vs TS2, a shift in Glacier Q can be observed. In most of the stations a slight reduction in the amount of Glacier Q was observed during 2011-2018 against 2003-2010. As per the glacier maps of different time periods, it can be seen that the small size glaciers were found more sensitive in reducing their mass. This explores the monthly fluctuations on the yearly basis in Snow Q and Glacier Q and also provides the ideas about the Snow Q dominant season and Glacier Q dominant season throughout the year.

Fig. 18 also displays the changes in monthly Snow Q during 2003-2018 for 17 sites dominated with snow and glaciers. As per the comparison of grouped time series sets (i.e. TS1 and TS2), most of months found highly variable in case of Snow Q. Overall, most months showed increase in Snow Q during 2011-2018 with respect to TS2 (i.e. 2003-2010) (Fig. 18 and Table 5). The increase in Snow Q over glaciated areas can be directly correlated with the reduction of Snowfall over the basin due to increasing rate of temperature over Himalayan glaciers. As temperature increases, snowfall contribution decreases and rainfall contribution increases.

90




Figure 18: Monthly variations in (a) Glacier Q and (b) Snow Q across 17 stations dominated with snow and glaciers during 2003-2018.

In Fig. 19 (a to d), a significant amount of glacier area reduction has been computed. Fig. 19 shows the hypsometry of glaciers in different temporal times. Here, hypsometry of glaciers has been calculated to determine the cumulative percentage of glacier area between the elevation zones. Total six elevation zones, at 500-m interval, have been calculated. The hypsometry of all glacier maps clearly shows that a significant reduction in the lower to extreme elevation areas. When compared to glacier map of 2000 with 2006, a very slight reduction in the glacier areas can only be observed, especially between 6000-6500 m ranges. However, there is a large gap can be observed at lower to moderate elevation zones (e.g. 4500-5000 m) in the glacier maps of 2011 and 2018 vis a vis glacier map of 2000. These changes could be a sign of elevation dependent warming, as also discussed previously in some Himalayan based studies (Dimri et al., 2018; Singh and Goyal, 2016). This analysis gives an insight about the sensitivity of glacier areas changes with respect to elevation.

Table 6: Changes in glacier areas in different times in the Baspa River basin.

SI No.	Year	Aggregate area km ²	Glacier Loss from 2000 (in Km ² Area)	Average Glacier Loss from 2000 (in Km ² Area)	Glacier Volume. km ³ (as per Prasad et al., 2019)	Glacier Volume. km ³ (as per SPHY modeled)
1	2000	212.93	NA	-	13.09	13.41
2	2006	203.86	9.07	9.07	12.46	12.84
3	2011	184.12	28.81	19.94	11.12	11.6
4	2018	174.41	38.52	25.46	10.47	10.99

92




Figure 19: Highlighting changes in glacier maps during (a) 2000, (b) 2006, (c) 2011, (d) 2018 and (e) comparing variations in glacier maps corresponded to elevations.

The glacier ice volume has been computed to verify the reduction in the glaciers ice volume as per the changes in glacier maps with respect to time. To compare the reduction in glacier ice volume due to melting, SPHY based glacier ice volume compared with the glacier ice volume computed based on the power equation suggested by Prasad et al. (2019). Prasad et al. (2019) developed a volume-area relationship considering 298 glaciers, which are mostly corresponded to Satluj river basin. The glacier ice volume has been computed for the four different temporal glacier maps (i.e. 2000, 2006, 2011 and 2018) using above two methods and given in Table 6. In 2000 the total glacier ice volume was recorded around 13.09-13.41 Km³, while in 2018, it was significantly reduced (recorded as 13.47-10.99 Km³). As per the comparison, SPHY has found comparable in the calculation of glacier ice volume with respect to the glacier ice volume computed through the volume-area equation.

Table 7: Shows the changes in different sizes of glaciers in the randomly selected watersheds.

Basins	Size Years Sub Nos	Small Size Glaciers (≤ 30 km ²)			Large Size Glaciers (> 30 km ²)
		Sub 1	Sub 5	Sub 2	Sub 3
BRB	2000	4.56	7.54	12.19	33.89
	2018	4.43	6.38	12.02	33.62

Table 7 shows the area change in the selected watersheds. In Table 7, it is observed that the small size glaciers have significantly reduced their size in the different decadal times as compared to large size glaciers in the Baspa basin. Figures 19 and 20 show the change in glacier areas for the selected watersheds in and daily mean glacier Q (m³/s) with respect to each watershed. Here, the Sub 5 and Sub 1 show noticeable reduction in the glacier Q and the same change can be visualized in Figure 20, which shows significant reductions in the glacier Q (e.g. for Sub 5, it varies from 30% to 23% and for Sub 1, it varies from 30% to 25%) and base Q (i.e. from 27% to 21% and 27% to 23%), while the snow Q shows enhancement (i.e. 42% to

94

55% and 43% to 52%). In case of Sub 3 and Sub 2, the glacier Q has shown increase from HS1 to HS2 (i.e. increases from 192.2 to 207.3 in case of Sub 3 and in case of Sub 2, it increases from 506.7 to 618.7).




Figure 20: Glacier area and glacier melt runoff (glacier Q, daily mean in m^3/s) changes as per selected watersheds comprises with large size and small size glaciers in BRB.

5.5 Long-term Changes in Snow Cover in Baspa Basin

The Figure 21 illustrates the long-term variation in snow cover percentage from 1951 to 2014 in a historical context. The snow cover exhibits a clear cyclical pattern, with regular peaks and troughs reflecting seasonal variations in snow accumulation and melt. The overall trend shows a general decline in snow cover percentage over the studied period. This gradual decrease is highlighted by the red line, indicating a downward trend, which could be attributed to the long-term effects of climate change, such as rising temperatures and altered precipitation

(95)

patterns. The amplitude of the cycles remains relatively consistent, implying that while seasonal variability persists, the baseline snow cover is steadily decreasing. This decline suggests potential impacts on water resources, particularly in regions dependent on snowmelt for river flow, such as high-altitude basins. The reduction in snow cover could also affect the timing and volume of runoff, potentially exacerbating issues like water scarcity or changing flood regimes. Overall, this data underscores the importance of monitoring snow cover trends, as they are critical indicators of broader climatic changes and have significant hydrological and environmental implications (Fig. 21).




Figure 21: Shows the long-term change in snow cover (%) in the Historical time during 1951-2014.

The projected change in snow cover percentage from 2015 to 2100 under the CMIP6 SSP245 scenario shows a significant and consistent decline. SSP245 represents a "middle-of-the-road" scenario, where some mitigation efforts are made but emissions and temperature rise continue at moderate levels (Fig. 22). As per the projections, snow cover percentages steadily decrease over the century, reflecting the increasing influence of temperature changes (increase) in the Baspa basin. The reduction in snow cover is particularly noticeable after mid-century, with the rate of decline accelerating. This is likely due to rising temperatures, which reduce the duration and extent of snow accumulation, especially at lower elevations and in mid-latitude

regions of the Baspa basin. The projected loss of snow cover can have profound impacts on river flows in the Baspa basin, particularly in snow-fed regions, as snowmelt plays a crucial role in sustaining streamflow during dry months.




Figure 22: Shows the long-term change in snow cover (%) during 2015-2100 as per (CMIP6 Models) SSP245.

The Fig. 23 showing the long-term change in snow cover percentage from 2015 to 2100 under the CMIP6 SSP585 scenario presents a significant downward trend. SSP585 represents a high-emission scenario where limited efforts are made to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, leading to substantial global warming. The snow cover percentage steadily decreases throughout the century, with sharper declines observed in the later decades, reflecting the impacts of increasingly warmer temperatures. This accelerated reduction in snow cover under SSP585 highlights the drastic effects of unchecked climate change on seasonal snow dynamics. By the end of the century, snow cover is projected to be significantly lower compared to earlier periods.

When comparing the SSP585, SSP245, and historical trends, notable differences emerge. The historical graph (1951-2014) shows relatively stable snow cover with some decline, but seasonal variability persists. Under SSP245 (2015-2100), the snow cover decreases more noticeably but at a moderate pace, indicating that some mitigation efforts slow the rate

of decline. The SSP245 scenario reflects a scenario where mitigation policies slightly curb emissions, resulting in a slower but still evident reduction in snow cover. In contrast, SSP585 shows the most dramatic reduction in snow cover, especially after 2050, as global temperatures rise rapidly. This noticeable difference between SSP245 and SSP585 underscores the critical importance of mitigation measures. While both scenarios project declines, the rate and severity are far worse in SSP585. The decreasing snow cover in both future scenarios compared to historical data also emphasizes the long-term impacts of climate change. The loss of snow cover in the future will have cascading effects on the streamflow in the downstream parts of the basin.




Figure 23: Figure 2: Shows the long-term change in snow cover (%) during 2015-2100 as per (CMIP6 Models) SSP245.

5.6 Climate Change Impact Assessment on Different Runoff Components

The Fig. 24 provides a comparative analysis of runoff components i.e. Total Q, Rain Q, Snow Q, and Glacier Q under SSP245 and SSP585 scenarios over time (2015-2100) in reference to base line time (i.e. 1980-2014). Here it can be seen that total runoff (Total Q) increases significantly over time, with SSP585 (orange line) showing much higher peaks, particularly after 2050, compared to SSP245 (blue line). This reflects the stronger impact of



higher emissions in SSP585 on total runoff, likely driven by increased rainfall and glacial melt. The top-right panel illustrates that rainfall runoff (Rain Q) also increases sharply, particularly in SSP585, where the peaks become more frequent and intense towards the end of the century, indicating higher rainfall events under a warmer climate. The Fig. 25 also reveals that glacial runoff (Glacier Q) increases steadily in both scenarios, but SSP585 shows a more dramatic rise after 2060, reflecting accelerated glacier melt due to higher temperatures. The bottom-right panel highlights the decrease in snowmelt runoff (Snow Q), with both scenarios showing a downward trend, but SSP585 experiences a more pronounced decline. This indicates a shift from snow-driven runoff to rain and glacier-driven runoff in the future, with more extreme variations under SSP585. Collectively, the figure underscores the substantial hydrological shifts expected under different climate scenarios.




Figure 24: Shows the annual average trends and changes in different runoff components at the Sangla gauge under different CMIP6 scenarios: SSP245 and SSP585.

(99)

The Table 8 presents a comparative assessment of different runoff components—Glacial Melt (Glac Q), Snowmelt (Snow Q), Rainfall (Rain Q), and Baseflow (Base Q)—across three time periods: Historical (1981-2014), Near Future Time (NFT, 2021-2050), and Far Future Time (FFT, 2061-2090). It examines the percentage changes in these components between the historical period and the near and far future under two climate scenarios: SSP245 (moderate emissions) and SSP585 (high emissions). The analysis helps reveal how each component of runoff is expected to evolve with climate change and the differing impacts of mitigation efforts.

Under SSP245, glacial melt (Glac Q) is projected to increase by 29.02% in NFT and 51.13% in FFT compared to historical values. This indicates that even under moderate warming, glaciers will contribute significantly more to runoff due to accelerated melting. Snowmelt (Snow Q), on the other hand, shows a decreasing trend, with a reduction of 14.75% in NFT and 44.45% in FFT, suggesting a substantial loss of snow cover over time as temperatures rise (Table 8). Rainfall-derived runoff (Rain Q) is expected to increase by 32.93% in NFT and 84.45% in FFT, indicating more precipitation in the form of rain rather than snow, which is consistent with warming trends. Baseflow (Base Q), representing groundwater contributions, is projected to rise by 28.70% in NFT and 53.16% in FFT, showing that warmer temperatures and increased rainfall will enhance groundwater recharge, though it may also point to changes in permafrost or snowpack dynamics affecting baseflow. Overall, SSP245 reflects a significant shift from snow-driven to rain-driven runoff, with glacial and rainfall contributions increasing over time while snowmelt declines.

Table 8: Shows a comparative assessment of different runoff components—Glacial Melt (Glac Q), Snowmelt (Snow Q), Rainfall (Rain Q), and Baseflow (Base Q)—across three time periods: Historical (1981-2014), Near Future Time (NFT, 2021-2050), and Far Future Time (FFT, 2061-2090).

Stations	Components	Historical, 1981-2014	NFT, 2021- 2050	FFT, 2061- 2090	% Change (Hist v NFT)	% Change (Hist v FFT)
SSP245	Glac Q	11.68	15.07	19.38	29.02	51.13
	Snow Q	58.12	49.55	36.10	-14.75	-44.45
	Rain Q	13.00	17.28	27.59	32.93	84.45
	Base Q	13.08	16.83	22.02	28.70	53.16
SSP585	Glac Q	11.68	14.16	20.84	21.25	64.68
	Snow Q	58.12	49.95	31.27	-14.06	-53.77
	Rain Q	13.00	19.83	31.58	52.54	93.73
	Base Q	13.08	16.05	23.91	22.75	67.45

Under the SSP585 scenario, the trends are more pronounced, especially in the far future, reflecting the impact of higher emissions and more severe warming. Glacial melt (Glac Q) increases by 21.25% in NFT and a dramatic 64.68% in FFT, showing that under high emissions, glacier contributions to runoff will be significantly larger, but at the cost of long-term glacier depletion. Snowmelt (Snow Q) sees a 14.06% decrease in NFT and a substantial 53.77% decrease in FFT, indicating that snow-dependent basins will face severe reductions in snow cover, affecting water availability. Rainfall runoff (Rain Q) rises sharply, with a 52.54% increase in NFT and 93.73% in FFT, showing a greater shift towards rainfall-driven runoff, especially in FFT. Baseflow (Base Q) shows an increase of 22.75% in NFT and 67.45% in FFT, indicating greater groundwater contributions due to increased precipitation and potential permafrost thaw under SSP585 (Table 8).

In both scenarios, the increase in glacial melt and rainfall runoff comes at the cost of a substantial reduction in snowmelt, with SSP585 showing more drastic changes. The sharp increases in rainfall-driven runoff and baseflow under SSP585 highlight the greater intensity of hydrological shifts under higher emissions. These changes have critical implications for water resources, as regions dependent on snowmelt may face reduced water availability during summer months, while increased rainfall could lead to more frequent flooding. The data underscores the urgency of climate mitigation, as higher emissions under SSP585 result in far more severe hydrological impacts compared to SSP245, where changes, though significant, are less extreme.

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, snow/glacier melt contribution and its variation over the years with changes in snow and glaciers in upper Himalayan basin has been analysed. Snow cover area was simulated using the SPHY model and it was found in good agreement with the area obtained using MODIS data. The glacier maps of 2000, 2011 and 2018 have been used to compute the runoff contribution due to glacier melt, snow melt and rain. The runoff from glacier melt found to be 18.15.12%, from snow melt runoff 58.61.64% corresponding to glacier maps of 2000, 2011 and 2018 while rainfall runoff 24% for all the years. Thus, total contribution from snow and glacier melt runoff at the outlet comes out to be 76%. The analysis was also carried out for each month and it was found that there is an increase in total runoff during pre-monsoon and post monsoon months. As per the overall comparative assessment between two time series durations, total runoff has been slightly increased across the basin.

On the basis of analysis of total 17 watersheds (i.e. dominated with snow and glaciers), out of 30 watersheds created on tributaries at the d/s of snow and glacier cover area, it was found that due to glacier recession in the glacier dominated watersheds, glacier melt runoff is reducing. It was found that the watersheds at the lower altitude are reducing glacier cover and thereby runoff have also decreased. In upper part of the basin, the glaciers in the watersheds located on the Northern side are reducing more as compared to the watersheds located on southern side. Therefore, the runoff from watersheds located on Northern side are reducing while it is increasing from the watersheds of the Southern side. Average contribution from these 17 watersheds, glacier melt runoff has decreased 14% and 44%, snow melt increased 24 and 42% and rainfall runoff 31 and 40% for the year 20011 to 2018 with respect to the year 2003. The contribution from rainfall runoff have increased over the years indicating change in precipitation from snow to rain while runoff from snowmelt has increased due to more melting.

As per SPHY, corresponding to glacier map of the year 2000, the glacier ice volume has been computed around 13.41 km³, while for the glacier map of 2018, it has been reduced around 10.99 km³.

As per the climate change-based assessment, the comparative assessment of snow cover and runoff components under historical, SSP245, and SSP585 scenarios reveals significant changes driven by climate change. The historical period (1951-2014) shows relatively stable snow cover and runoff patterns, with snowmelt being the dominant source of water. However, projections under SSP245 and SSP585 indicate profound shifts in these dynamics. Under SSP245, moderate emissions lead to a gradual decline in snow cover and snowmelt runoff, with increases in rainfall and glacial melt contributing more significantly to total runoff. Snowmelt decreases by 14.75% and 44.45% in the near and far future, respectively, while glacial melt and rainfall increase substantially. The scenario reflects a slow but steady transition from snow-driven to rain and glacier-driven runoff, particularly after 2050. In contrast, SSP585, with higher emissions, shows much more drastic changes. Snow cover and snowmelt decline sharply, with a 53.77% reduction in snowmelt runoff by 2090. Rainfall and glacial melt increase significantly, especially after mid-century, resulting in more extreme total runoff fluctuations. These trends highlight the amplified hydrological impacts under higher emissions, with intensified rainfall events and accelerated glacier retreat driving future water availability. Overall, the data emphasize the urgent need for climate mitigation to prevent severe reductions in snow cover and to manage the increasing variability in water resources.

Acknowledgement

We thank to National Institute of Hydrology Roorkee for providing all the facilities and data to conduct this study. We also thank you SPHY model developer team Netherlands, USGS NASA team USA and CWC India for providing the software and datasets for the completion of this research work.

REFERENCES

- Abbaspour, K.C., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, S.R.I.N.I.V.A.S.A.N.B., Srinivasan, R., Yang, H. and Klöve, B., 2015. A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model. *J. Hydrol.*, 524, pp.733-752.
- Armstrong, R., Alford D., and Racoviteanu, A., (2009); Glaciers as indicators of climate change – the special case of the high elevation glaciers of the Nepal Himalaya. Sustainable mountain development In: International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ed.) *Water Storage: A Strategy for Climate Change Adaptation in the Himalayas*. Winter No. 56. Kathmandu: ICIMOD, 14–16.
- Arnold, J.G., Moriasi, D.N., Gassman, P.W., Abbaspour, K.C., White, M.J., Srinivasan, R., Santhi, C., Harmel, R.D., Van Griensven, A., Van Liew, M.W. and Kannan, N., 2012. SWAT: Model use, calibration, and validation. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 55(4), pp.1491-1508.
- Barcaza, G., Nussbaumer, S.U., Tapia, G., Valdés, J., García, J.L., Videla, Y., Alborno, A. and Arias, V., 2017. Glacier inventory and recent glacier variations in the Andes of Chile, South America. *Annals of Glaciology*, 58(75pt2), pp.166-180.
- Bhambri, R. and T. Bolch., (2009); Glacier mapping: a review with special reference to the Indian Himalayas. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 33(5), 672–704.
- Bhambri, R., T. Bolch, R.K. Chaujar, S.C. Kulshreshtha., (2011); Glacier changes in the Garhwal Himalaya, India, from 1968 to 2006 based on remote sensing. *Journal of Glaciology*, 203(57), 543-556.
- Bhutiyani, M.R., V.S. Kale and N.J. Pawar, (2007); Long-term trends in maximum, minimum and mean annual air temperatures across the North-western Himalaya during the twentieth century. *Climatic Change*, 85(1–2), 159–177.

- Bhutiyani, M.R., V.S. Kale and N.J. Pawar. (2009): Climate change and the precipitation variations in the north-western Himalaya: 1866–2006. *International Journal of Climatology*, 30(4), 535–548.
- Bishop, M.P. and J.F. Shroder, Jr. (eds): (2004): *Geographic Information Science and Mountain Geomorphology*. Springer-Praxis, Chichester.
- Bishop, M.P., J.F. Shroder, Jr. B. L. Hickman, and L. Copland. (1998): Scale dependent analysis of satellite imagery for characterization of glacier surfaces in the Karakoram Himalaya. *Geomorphology* 21, 217–232.
- Bliss, A., Hock, R. and Radić, V., 2014. Global response of glacier runoff to twenty-first century climate change. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 119(4), pp.717-730.
- Bogorodskiy, V.V., V.C. Bentley, and P.E. Gudmandsen. (1985): *Radio glaciology*. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland, Reidel Publ. Company.
- Bolch T. and U. Kamp. (2006): Glacier mapping in high mountains using DEMs, Landsat and ASTER data. In Kaufmann, V. And W. Sulzer. (eds): *Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on High Mountain Remote Sensing Cartography*. Graz, Karl Franzens University, 13–24. (Grazer Schriften der Geographie und Raumforschung 41.)
- Bolch T., T. Yao, S. Kang, M.F. Buchroithner, D. Scherer, F. Maussion, E. Huintjes and C. Schneider., (2010): A glacier inventory for the western Nyainqentanglha Range and the Nam Co Basin, Tibet, and glacier changes 1976-2009. *The Cryosphere*, 4, 419–433. doi: 10.5194/tc-4-419-2010.
- Bolch, T., B. Menounos, R. Wheate., (2010): Landsat-based inventory of glaciers in western Canada, 1985–2005. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 114 (2010), 127–137.

- Bolch, T., Kulkarni, A., Kääb, A., Huggel, C., Paul, F., Cogley, J.G., Frey, H., Kargel, J.S., Fujita, K., Scheel, M. and Bajracharya, S., 2012. The state and fate of Himalayan glaciers. *Science*, 336(6079), pp.310-314.
- Bolch, T., M. Buchroithner, T. Pieczonka and A. Kunert., (2008): Planimetric and volumetric glacier changes in the Khumbu Himal, Nepal, since 1962 using Corona, Landsat TM and ASTER data. *Journal of Glaciology*, 54(187), 592–600.
- Bolch, T., M.F Buchroithner, A. Kunert and U. Kamp. (2007): Automated delineation of debris-covered glaciers based on ASTER data. In Gomarasca, M.A., ed. *GeoInformation in Europe. Proceedings of the 27th EARSeL Symposium*, 4–6 June 2007, Bolzano, Italy. Rotterdam, Millpress, 403–410.
- Burger, F., Brock, B. and Montecinos, A., 2018. Seasonal and elevational contrasts in temperature trends in Central Chile between 1979 and 2015. *Global and planetary change*, 162, pp.136-147.
- Bush, A.B.G., (2000): A positive feedback mechanism for Himalayan glaciations. *Quaternary International*, 65(6), 3–13.
- Byers, E., Gidden, M. and Maussion, F., 2017. Working with big, multi-dimensional geoscientific datasets in Python: a tutorial introduction to xarray.
- Cannon, A.J., Sobie, S.R. and Murdock, T.Q., 2015. Bias correction of GCM precipitation by quantile mapping: how well do methods preserve changes in quantiles and extremes? *Journal of Climate*, 28(17), pp.6938-6959.
- Chaohai, L. and Sharma, C.K., (1988): Report on first expedition to glaciers in the Punqu (Arun) and Poiqu (Bhote-Sun Kosi) river basins, Xizang (Tibet), China. Science Press, Beijing, p. 192.
- Chaujar, R.K., (2009): Climate change and its impact on the Himalayan glaciers – a case study on the Chorabari glacier, Garhwal Himalaya, India. *Current Science*, 96(5), 703–707.

- Clarke, G.K., Jarosch, A.H., Anslow, F.S., Radić, V. and Menounos, B., 2015. Projected deglaciation of western Canada in the twenty-first century. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(5), pp.372-377.
- Colbeck, S.C., (1988): Snowmelt increase through albedo reduction. Proc. of Workshop on Snow Hydrology, held at Manali from Nov. 23-26 pp. VI-12.
- de Boer, F., 2016. HiHydroSoil: A high resolution soil map of hydraulic properties. Wageningen, the Netherlands, 20.
- Demuth, M.N., Wilson, P. and Haggarty, D., 2014. Glaciers of the Ragged Range, Nahanni National Park Reserve, Northwest Territories, Canada. In *Global land ice measurements from space* (pp. 375-383). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Dinri, A.P., Kumar, D., Choudhary, A. and Maharana, P., 2018. Future changes over the Himalayas: mean temperature. *Global and planetary change*, 162, pp.235-251.
- Dyhrenfurth, G.O., (1955): TO THE THIRD POLE. Dyhrenfurth, London, 1st edition Chipped DJ Fine.
- Dyurgerov, M.B., Meier, M.F., (1997): Mass balance of mountain and sub polar glaciers: a new global assessment for 1961–1990. *Arctic and Alpine Research* 29 (4), 379–391.
- Engelhardt, M., Ramanathan, A.L., Eidhammer, T., Kumar, P., Landgren, O., Mandal, A. and Rasmussen, R.O.Y., 2017. Modelling 60 years of glacier mass balance and runoff for Chhota Shigri Glacier, Western Himalaya, Northern India. *J. Glaciol.*, 63(240), pp.618-628.
- Falaschi, D., Bravo, C., Masiokas, M., Villalba, R. and Rivera, A., 2013. First glacier inventory and recent changes in glacier area in the Monte San Lorenzo Region (47° S), Southern Patagonian Andes, South America. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research*, 45(1), pp.19-28.

- Farinotti, D., Longuevergne, L., Moholdt, G., Duethmann, D., Mölg, T., Bolch, T., Vorogushyn, S. and Günther, A., 2015. Substantial glacier mass loss in the Tien Shan over the past 50 years. *Nature Geoscience*, 8(9), pp.716-722.
- Flint, R. F., (1964): *Glacial and Quaternary Geology*. John Wiley, pp. 63–85.
- Geological Survey of India, 1907. Preliminary survey of certain glaciers in North-West Himalaya. *Records of the Geological Survey of India*, 35: 123-126.
- Gupta, V., Jain, M.K., Singh, P.K. and Singh, V., 2019. An assessment of global satellite-based precipitation datasets in capturing precipitation extremes: A comparison with observed precipitation dataset in India. *International Journal of Climatology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6419>.
- Haeberli, W. and Beniston, M., (1998): Climate change and its impacts on glaciers and permafrost in the Alps. *Ambio*, 27 (4), 258–265.
- Haeberli, W., Hoelzle, M., Suter, S. (eds.) (1998): Historical evolution and operational aspects of worldwide glacier monitoring. Into the second century of worldwide glacier monitoring: Prospects and Strategies. *Studies and Reports in Hydrology*. UNESCO, Paris: p.35–51.
- Hall, D. K. and G. A. Riggs, 2016. *MODIS/Terra Snow Cover 8-Day L3 Global 500m SIN Grid, Version 6*. [Indicate subset used]. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center. <https://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MOD10A2.006>. [Date Accessed]
- Hall, D.K., G.A. Riggs and V.V. Salomonson., (1995): Development of methods for mapping global snow covers using Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 54(2), 127–140.
- Huss, M. and Hock, R., 2018. Global-scale hydrological response to future glacier mass loss. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(2), pp.135-140.